2025

Global Challenges in Manufacturing Industry

Navigating the Polycrisis in 2025 and Beyond

A Strategic Analysis by

KNOWUS

Executive Summary

The global manufacturing sector is currently navigating a period of unprecedented complexity, best understood not as a series of isolated challenges but as a "polycrisis." Five core disruptive forces - geopolitical volatility, a deepening human capital crisis, rapid technological disruption, persistent economic pressures, and an urgent sustainability imperative - are no longer separate issues. They are now deeply interconnected, interacting in ways that amplify one another's impact and create a business environment characterized by profound uncertainty and systemic risk.

This report provides a comprehensive analysis of these five forces, examining their global manifestations and their specific implications for the Danish manufacturing industry. For Danish manufacturers, these global pressures are magnified by a unique domestic context. Operating within a high-cost economy and subject to the ambitious regulatory landscape of the European Union, Danish firms must innovate and adapt with exceptional agility to maintain their competitive edge.[11] [21] The analysis reveals that while Denmark possesses significant strengths, particularly in green technology and high-value production, it also faces vulnerabilities related to its reliance on international trade, a demographic-driven labor shortage, and an industrial structure dominated by small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) that can struggle to absorb the costs of transformation.[11]

The interconnected nature of these challenges demands a holistic, integrated strategic response. A piecemeal approach - addressing supply chain issues in isolation from talent strategy, or technology adoption without considering cybersecurity and economic realities - is no longer viable. This report concludes by outlining a set of strategic pathways designed to build comprehensive resilience and drive competitiveness. These recommendations focus on creating a future-proof workforce, de-risking operations across multiple domains, transforming sustainability from a compliance burden into a competitive advantage, and fostering a collaborative ecosystem between industry, government, and associations to collectively address these systemic challenges.

The following table provides a high-level overview of the polycrisis confronting the manufacturing sector.

Michael Dalsgaard
Founder

Table 1: The Global Manufacturing Polycrisis at a Glance

Core Challenge	Primary Global Drivers	Key Manifestations	Strategic Imperative
Geopolitical Volatility	Rise of protectionism; US-China strategic competition; regional conflicts; policy uncertainty.[1]	Supply chain disruptions; increased logistics costs; trade barriers and tariffs; operational risks in foreign markets.[2] [3]	Build multi-layered resilience through supply chain diversification, nearshoring, and advanced risk management.[5] [7]
Human Capital Crisis	Aging workforce and mass retirements; mismatch between traditional skills and digital/green competencies.[13] [14]	Skilled labor shortages; "knowledge drain" of institutional expertise; rising wage pressures; high employee turnover costs.[13]	Implement an integrated talent strategy focused on aggressive upskilling, knowledge capture, and enhancing the employee value proposition.
Technological Disruption	Proliferation of Industry 4.0 (AI, automation, IoT); convergence of IT and Operational Technology (OT).[23]	Productivity opportunities; increased operational complexity; escalating cybersecurity threats to production; digital divide between large firms and SMEs.[17]	Treat technology adoption and cybersecurity as core operational functions, investing in both innovation and robust digital defense.
Economic Pressures	Persistent inflation; volatile energy and raw material prices; intense global competition.[28] [29]	Squeezed profit margins; increased input costs; pressure to absorb costs vs. pass them to consumers; challenge to high-cost production models.	Pivot from a cost-centric to a value-centric operational model, focusing on innovation, quality, and service to justify cost structures.
Sustainability Imperative	Climate change; stringent ESG regulations (e.g., CSRD, CBAM); investor and consumer pressure.[33] [34]	High cost of compliance and green transition; complex data reporting requirements across the value chain; risk of "carbon leakage."[39]	Integrate sustainability into core business strategy, leveraging green innovation as a competitive differentiator rather than a mere compliance task.

Section 1: Navigating the Fractured Global Landscape: Supply Chain and Geopolitical Volatility

The foundational assumption of a stable, hyper-globalized trade environment, which underpinned manufacturing strategy for decades, has been irrevocably shattered. The sector has entered a new era defined by geopolitical fragmentation, systemic supply chain risk, and heightened volatility. For manufacturers, this represents a paradigm shift where distant political events and logistical chokepoints can have immediate and severe consequences for production, costs, and profitability. Building resilience is no longer a strategic option but a fundamental necessity for survival and growth.[8]

1.1 The New Geopolitical Reality: From Hyper-Globalisation to Bloc-Based Competition

The global landscape is increasingly defined by disruption, as nations navigate fractured alliances and intensifying geopolitical risks.[1] The rise of protectionist policies and the strategic competition between major powers, particularly the United States and China, are fueling deeper geopolitical tensions and fundamentally redefining the architecture of global commerce.[1] This shift is moving the world away from a single, integrated global market towards a more fragmented system of competing economic and political blocs.

This new reality is characterized by persistent uncertainty. Armed conflicts, such as those in Ukraine and the Middle East, along with widening economic sanctions, are actively reshaping trade flows and creating an environment of instability.[2] These are not isolated crises but ongoing sources of volatility that force companies to constantly recalibrate their diplomatic and security strategies.[2] This unpredictable climate is further compounded by domestic political shifts. The "megacycle" of global elections in 2024 and the prospect of

significant policy changes in 2025 - including potential new tariffs - create a challenging business environment where long-term planning and investment decisions are fraught with risk.[1] For manufacturers, this means that geopolitical risk analysis must become a core competency, deeply integrated into strategic decision-making.

1.2 Deconstructing Supply Chain Disruptions: Logistics, Costs, and Chokepoints

The abstract geopolitical risks translate into tangible and costly operational disruptions. Global supply chains remain under severe strain, burdened by a combination of political risk, unstable trade relationships, and logistical bottlenecks.[1] For instance, the closure of a single major port due to localized health restrictions can result in worldwide shipping delays, highlighting the fragility of these interconnected systems.[7]

These disruptions have a direct and significant financial impact. The cost of global shipping has experienced unprecedented volatility, with freight rates, especially from major Asian ports to Europe and the United States, skyrocketing since late 2020.[7] This directly pressures manufacturers' margins and introduces a major element of cost unpredictability into their operations. Furthermore, the sources of disruption are diversifying. Beyond conflicts and political actions, climate-related disasters such as prolonged droughts and devastating floods are increasingly wreaking havoc on supply chains, straining critical infrastructure like ports and railways and testing the resilience of logistics networks.[1] This confluence of geopolitical, logistical, and environmental disruptions means that supply chain volatility is now a structural feature of the manufacturing landscape, not a temporary anomaly.

1.3 The Strategic Pivot to Resilience: Analysing Nearshoring, Friend-Shoring, and Diversification

In response to this new reality of persistent disruption, manufacturers are undertaking a fundamental restructuring of their supply chains. The long-held focus on lean, just-in-time, and cost-optimized networks is being replaced by a strategic pivot towards resilience.[56] An overwhelming majority of manufacturers are actively engaged in de-risking their supply chains, with one survey showing that 86.2% of respondents have worked to do so in the last two years.[58] This is not a minor adjustment but a widespread reconfiguration of global production footprints.

Several key strategies have emerged as central to this pivot:

- **Diversification:** A primary tactic is to reduce overreliance on any single supplier or geographic region by increasing the total number of suppliers.[9] This strategy aims to create redundancy in the supply chain, providing alternatives when a primary source is disrupted. Data from Dansk Industri (DI) shows that 30% of Danish firms have increased or are considering increasing their number of suppliers as a direct response to global crises.[10]
- **Nearshoring and Friend-Shoring:** Companies are increasingly moving production and sourcing to locations geographically closer to their end markets (nearshoring) or to countries that are politically and economically aligned ("friend-shoring").[1] This approach is designed to shorten lead times, reduce transportation costs, and mitigate the risks associated with geopolitical tensions.
- **Reshoring:** While less common, some production is being brought back to the company's home country (reshoring), often driven by government incentives and a desire for maximum control over the supply chain.

These strategic shifts are visibly altering global trade patterns. The share of US trade in goods with China, for example, has declined significantly, while Mexico has now become the leading US trading partner.[57] Simultaneously, other Asian trading partners like India, Malaysia, Thailand, and Vietnam have seen their trade

shares grow as companies seek alternatives to China.[57] This represents a fundamental and ongoing restructuring of global manufacturing networks, driven by the strategic imperative to balance cost with resilience.

1.4 Implications for Denmark: Risks and Opportunities for an Open, Export-Driven Economy

For an open, export-driven economy like Denmark, the fracturing of the global trade landscape presents both profound risks and unique opportunities. The Danish manufacturing sector is highly dependent on international competitiveness and smooth global trade, making it particularly vulnerable to the kinds of disruptions detailed above. The high Danish cost level means that any additional friction in global trade, whether from tariffs or logistical delays, can quickly erode competitiveness.

Analysis from Dansk Industri confirms that Danish firms are actively responding to these global pressures. The strategy of diversifying suppliers is a clear trend.[10] However, the data reveals a critical nuance in the Danish approach to nearshoring and reshoring. Unlike a simple model of bringing production back home, Danish companies are primarily pursuing a strategy of locating production close to their major *global* markets. Only a very small percentage (1-3%) are moving production back to Denmark or nearby countries.[10] Instead, they are establishing or expanding operations in regions like North America and Asia to be closer to their customers there.

This strategy of "producing where you sell" is a logical response to reduce logistics costs and improve market responsiveness. However, it is a double-edged sword. While it mitigates risks associated with long-haul transportation from Denmark, it simultaneously exposes these Danish-owned foreign operations directly to the full spectrum of local and regional risks, including geopolitical instability, labor market challenges, and climate events in those areas. This means that true resilience for Danish firms cannot be achieved simply by relocating production; it requires building robust operational and risk management capabilities within each of these global production hubs. In this volatile environment, Denmark's strong regional ties, particularly within the Nordic region, become an even more valuable asset, providing a stable and familiar environment for collaboration and risk mitigation.[12]

Section 2: The Human Capital Conundrum: A Deepening Talent and Skills Crisis

While geopolitical and technological disruptions command headlines, a more fundamental crisis is unfolding within the manufacturing sector: a critical and deepening shortage of human capital. This is not merely a cyclical hiring challenge but a structural crisis rooted in powerful demographic shifts and a widening gap between available skills and the needs of the modern factory. This talent and skills conundrum represents the most significant underlying constraint on the industry's ability to innovate, enhance productivity, and successfully navigate the other challenges of the polycrisis. Without the right people, investments in technology, sustainability, and resilience cannot deliver their full value.

2.1 The Demographic Cliff: Quantifying the Impact of an Aging Workforce and Knowledge Drain

The manufacturing workforce in most developed economies is standing on the edge of a demographic cliff. A significant portion of the most experienced and skilled employees are nearing retirement age. In the United States, for instance, nearly 25% of the manufacturing workforce is over the age of 55, a proportion that has more than doubled in the past two decades.[19] This trend is mirrored across mature economies like Japan and Germany, creating a pressing global issue.

The direct consequence of this aging workforce is a looming wave of mass retirements that will create a massive vacuum of talent and experience. Projections indicate that this exodus could leave millions of manufacturing jobs unfilled by the end of the decade.[13] This is more than just a quantitative loss of labor; it represents a qualitative

catastrophe known as "brain drain" or "knowledge drain". As these veteran employees retire, they take with them decades of invaluable, and often undocumented, institutional and technical knowledge. This tacit expertise—the intuitive understanding of how to troubleshoot a specific machine, the experience-based ability to quote a complex job, or the nuanced knowledge of production processes—is frequently not recorded in company systems and resides "solely in their heads".[13] The loss of this knowledge is devastating for maintaining quality standards, ensuring operational continuity, and effectively training the next generation of workers. This phenomenon transforms a demographic trend into a severe and immediate operational risk.

2.2 The Great Skills Mismatch: Bridging the Gap Between Traditional Labor and Digital Competencies

Compounding the demographic challenge is a profound skills mismatch. As the manufacturing industry undergoes a rapid digital transformation towards "smart factories" and Industry 4.0, the nature of the work and the skills required are fundamentally changing. The demand is shifting away from manual labor and towards a workforce equipped with a sophisticated blend of technical, digital, and analytical capabilities.[16]

There is staggering growth in the demand for workers with skills in advanced electronics, automation, robotics, and big data analytics - in some cases, demand for these skills has grown by as much as 771% in recent years.[15] However, a significant portion of the current and incoming workforce lacks these emerging competencies. This creates a widening skills gap, where companies cannot find candidates qualified to operate, maintain, and optimize the very technologies they are investing in to stay competitive.[15] Furthermore, the required skill set is not purely technical. The increasingly interconnected and data-driven nature of modern manufacturing also demands strong "soft skills," such as critical thinking, problem-solving, and collaboration, to work effectively in a human-machine environment.[14]

This great skills mismatch acts as a primary bottleneck, preventing companies from unlocking the full potential of their technological investments.

2.3 The Rising Cost of Talent: Wage Pressures, Retention, and the Employee Experience

The confluence of a shrinking labor pool and a growing skills gap has created a fiercely competitive market for talent, with significant economic consequences for manufacturers. To attract and retain the limited number of qualified candidates, companies are being forced to offer higher wages and enhanced benefits, leading to a steady rise in labor costs. In 2024 alone, employee wages and benefits costs rose by 3.8% and are expected to continue climbing.[4]

Beyond the direct cost of wages, the challenge of employee retention has become a critical financial issue. The cost to replace a single skilled frontline worker can range from $10,000 to $40,000 USD, making high turnover rates an unsustainable drain on resources.[4] Consequently, the strategic focus for many leading manufacturers is shifting from pure compensation towards improving the overall employee experience. Retention is no longer just about pay; it is about creating a work environment where employees feel valued, heard, and see opportunities for growth. This involves offering greater flexibility in scheduling and shift patterns, addressing practical worker needs like childcare and transportation, and establishing regular communication with hourly staff.[4] This represents a fundamental evolution in workforce management, where creating a positive and engaging culture is now recognized as a key lever for financial performance and operational stability.

2.4 The Danish Perspective: Addressing Sector-Specific Shortages and the Role of International Talent

Denmark is facing a particularly acute version of the global human capital crisis. The country is experiencing significant labor shortages that pose a direct challenge to future growth, especially in the critical green and digital sectors that are central to the nation's economic strategy.[17] These shortages are exacerbated by Denmark's high-

cost base, which makes the upward pressure on wages from a tight labor market a particularly potent threat to the competitiveness of its manufacturing firms.

In this context, attracting and retaining international talent is not just a beneficial strategy but an economic necessity for Denmark. The value created by foreign employees in the Danish industrial sector is substantial, and they are essential for filling the skills gaps that cannot be met by the domestic workforce alone.[22] This makes national policies related to immigration, integration, and the recognition of foreign qualifications a critical component of Denmark's industrial and competitive strategy. The ability of Danish manufacturers to access a global talent pool will be a key determinant of their success in the coming years.

Section 3: The Double-Edged Sword of Technology: Digital Transformation and Emerging Risks

The digital transformation of manufacturing, often termed Industry 4.0, is not an optional upgrade but a fundamental imperative for survival and competitiveness in the 21st century. The adoption of automation, artificial intelligence (AI), and smart manufacturing systems offers the promise of unprecedented gains in efficiency, agility, and innovation. However, this technological revolution is a double-edged sword. While it provides the tools to navigate the polycrisis, it also introduces profound new layers of operational complexity and security risks. For manufacturers, the challenge is not simply to adopt new technology, but to manage its implementation at scale while defending against the emerging threats that come with a fully interconnected production environment.

3.1 The Imperative of Industry 4.0: Adoption Trends in Automation, AI, and Smart Manufacturing

Digitization has become the single most important driver of cultural and operational change within the manufacturing sector, recognized by a vast majority of global CEOs as the most significant megatrend they face.[3] The push towards Industry 4.0 is a response to the need for greater agility, productivity, and attractiveness to a new generation of talent.[3] The goal is to evolve from traditional factories into intelligent, data-driven enterprises that can adapt in real-time to market shifts and supply chain disruptions.[23]

The key technologies at the heart of this transformation are diverse and interconnected:

- **Automation and Robotics:** Advanced robotics are being deployed to streamline processes, improve quality, reduce human error, and offset labor shortages.
- **Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML):** AI is being integrated into various functions, from workforce management tools that optimize scheduling and upskilling, to advanced analytics that enable predictive quality management and continuous process improvement.[4]
- **Smart Manufacturing Systems:** These systems leverage the Internet of Things (IoT) to connect machinery and gather vast amounts of data. Technologies like digital twins—virtual replicas of physical assets—allow for simulation, analysis, and optimization of production processes in a risk-free environment.[3]

The adoption of these technologies is no longer a niche activity. In Denmark, for example, the use of AI is accelerating rapidly, with Dansk Industri predicting that half of all Danish companies will be utilizing AI in some form by the end of 2025.[16]

3.2 Beyond the Pilot Phase: Overcoming Hurdles of Scaled Implementation and Operational Risk

While the promise of Industry 4.0 is clear, the path from small-scale pilot projects to full-scale, enterprise-wide implementation is fraught with challenges. One of the most significant hurdles identified by executives is

managing the sheer complexity of these transformations.[3] Integrating new digital systems with legacy equipment, retraining the workforce, and redesigning long-standing workflows is a massive undertaking.

This complexity gives rise to significant operational risk. A recent survey found that 65% of manufacturing executives ranked operational risk as their first or second most pressing concern related to smart manufacturing initiatives.[12] This includes the substantial risk of business disruption, production downtime, and major financial losses stemming from failed or poorly managed implementation projects.[12] A critical issue is the "maturity gap" within organizations. While many companies report relative maturity in traditional areas like quality management and operations, they lag significantly in the domains of human capital and maintenance.[12] These are precisely the areas that are most critical for supporting and sustaining advanced smart factory systems, creating a fundamental weakness that can undermine the entire transformation effort. Without a skilled workforce to run the systems and robust maintenance protocols to keep them online, the technology cannot deliver on its promise.

3.3 The Digital Battlefield: Confronting Cybersecurity Threats in an Interconnected Production Environment

The greatest risk introduced by Industry 4.0 is the dramatic expansion of the company's "attack surface" for cyber threats. The convergence of Information Technology (IT) - the enterprise networks for data and communication - and Operational Technology (OT) - the industrial control systems that run the factory floor - creates unprecedented vulnerabilities. By connecting previously isolated machinery to the internet, manufacturers expose their core production processes to a global landscape of sophisticated cyber adversaries.

This is no longer a theoretical threat. Executives express high levels of concern about specific cybersecurity risks in their OT environments:

- **Unauthorized Access:** 55% of respondents strongly agree that unauthorized access to industrial control systems is a high concern.[3]
- **Intellectual Property Theft:** 47% cite the theft of valuable IP, such as product designs and proprietary process data, as a major worry.[3]
- **Operational Disruption:** 46% fear a cyberattack could lead to a direct and deliberate disruption of their physical operations, effectively shutting down production.[3]

A successful cyberattack against a manufacturing firm is therefore not just a data breach; it is a direct threat to physical production, product quality, and employee safety. It has evolved from an IT problem into a core manufacturing operational risk.[3] While companies are dedicating significant resources to cybersecurity - with some spending over 15% of their IT budget on it - their self-assessed technology maturity often only meets, rather than exceeds, industry standards.[3] This indicates that a significant vulnerability gap remains, leaving many firms exposed to potentially catastrophic digital attacks.

3.4 Denmark's Digital Frontier: Leveraging Innovation While Supporting SME Transformation

The Danish manufacturing landscape presents a unique context for this technological transformation. The country's industrial structure is characterized by a large number of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), which often have limited financial and human resources to invest in expensive and complex new technologies.[11] This creates a significant challenge for the widespread adoption of Industry 4.0 across the entire industrial ecosystem.

To address this, Denmark has implemented national programs like SMV:Digital, which provide support and funding to help SMEs navigate their digital transition.[17] These initiatives are crucial, as the competitiveness of

the entire Danish manufacturing sector depends on the digital maturity of its entire supply chain, not just its largest corporations. There is a clear risk of a "digital divide" emerging between large, technologically advanced firms and the smaller suppliers they depend on. This gap is a systemic vulnerability. The efficiency and security of a large, highly digitized manufacturer are only as strong as the weakest digital link in its supply chain. A cyberattack on a small, less-secure supplier can have cascading effects, potentially halting production for a major customer. Therefore, fostering a broad-based digital transformation that includes SMEs is not just a matter of business support; it is a critical issue of national economic security and competitiveness for Denmark.

Section 4: Squeezed from All Sides: Persistent Economic and Cost Pressures

Beyond the strategic challenges of geopolitics, talent, and technology, manufacturers are contending with a difficult and persistent macroeconomic environment. A confluence of stubborn inflation, volatile input costs, and intensifying global competition is creating a severe and sustained squeeze on profitability. This relentless economic pressure complicates every other strategic decision, forcing leaders to perform a difficult balancing act between making necessary long-term investments in resilience and technology, and managing short-term financial performance in a high-cost world.

4.1 The Inflationary Echo: Managing Volatile Input, Energy, and Material Costs

Despite some moderation from post-pandemic peaks, inflationary pressures remain a significant headwind for the manufacturing sector. Companies continue to report facing higher prices for a wide range of inputs and, in turn, are compelled to raise the prices of their finished goods.[18] This inflationary environment is driven by several key factors that directly impact the manufacturing cost structure:

- **Energy Prices:** Volatile and elevated energy costs have been a primary driver of input cost inflation, particularly for energy-intensive industries in Europe. The geopolitical instability affecting global energy markets creates a persistent source of cost uncertainty.[18]
- **Raw Materials and Components:** The prices of essential raw materials and components remain volatile, influenced by shifting demand, supply chain adjustments, and trade policies. The imposition of new tariffs on imported materials can further intensify these cost pressures, directly impacting sectors reliant on global sourcing.[18]
- **Labor Costs:** As detailed in Section 2, the tight labor market and the competition for skilled talent are driving a steady increase in wages and benefits, adding another layer of cost pressure that is structural rather than cyclical.[4]

This combination of factors means that the era of predictable, low-cost inputs has likely ended. Manufacturers must now operate in an environment where cost volatility is the norm, requiring more sophisticated procurement strategies and financial planning.

4.2 The Margin Squeeze: Navigating a High-Cost Environment

The simultaneous rise in costs for materials, energy, and labor creates a powerful "margin squeeze" that directly threatens profitability. Manufacturers are caught between rising input costs on one side and the limits of customer pricing power on the other. The crucial strategic question becomes how much of this increased cost burden can be passed on to consumers through higher prices, and how much must be absorbed by the company, thereby eroding profit margins.

This dilemma is further complicated by the need for significant capital investment. To remain competitive, companies must invest heavily in the very areas discussed in previous sections: building supply chain resilience,

adopting new digital technologies, and transitioning to more sustainable production methods. These are not discretionary expenses but necessary investments for future viability. However, they add another layer of cost to an already strained financial model, intensifying the pressure on margins and forcing difficult trade-offs in capital allocation.

4.3 The Global Competitive Landscape: Pressure from Low-Cost and High-Tech Competitors

The economic pressures are amplified by an intensely competitive global landscape. Manufacturers in high-cost developed economies continue to face strong competition from traditional low-wage manufacturing hubs in regions like Eastern Europe and China. This long-standing pressure on price and cost efficiency remains a powerful force in the market.

However, the nature of global competition is evolving and becoming more complex. The threat is no longer solely from low-cost labor. A new wave of competition is emerging from newly developed and developing countries, such as South Korea, that are rapidly building formidable competencies in high-tech research, manufacturing automation, and innovation. This means that manufacturers in established industrial nations are now being challenged on both cost and technological sophistication. Adding to this pressure, there is a perception among many Danish and European companies that their competitive position has worsened relative to their American rivals in recent years, citing factors that may include a more favorable regulatory or energy cost environment in the U.S..[20] [21]

4.4 Denmark's Cost Challenge: Balancing a High-Cost Base with High-Value Production

For Denmark, the challenge of operating in a high-cost environment is a central and defining feature of its industrial landscape. The high Danish cost level, particularly for labor, is a well-documented and persistent hurdle for its manufacturing companies in global competition.[30] The primary Danish strategy to overcome this structural disadvantage has been to compete on value rather than cost. This involves focusing on knowledge-intensive production, high levels of innovation, customized solutions, and leadership in niche, high-value-added sectors.

This approach has been remarkably successful in many areas, allowing Danish industry to thrive despite its high cost base. However, this model is not without its vulnerabilities. It requires continuous investment in R&D and skills to stay ahead of the technology curve, a particular challenge for the many SMEs that form the backbone of the Danish economy.[11] Furthermore, the Danish economy exhibits a significant reliance on a small number of highly successful "superstar" companies, particularly within the pharmaceutical sector, which drive a large share of the country's growth, employment, and exports.[15] While this is a source of immense strength, it also creates a potential vulnerability. An over-reliance on a single sector or a few large firms could lead to a "Nokia trap," where a downturn in these key players could have a disproportionately large negative impact on the broader national economy. This highlights the importance of fostering a broad and resilient industrial base beyond these superstar firms.

The following table provides a comparative analysis of the pressures facing Danish manufacturers relative to the global average.

Table 2: Comparative Analysis of Danish vs. Global Manufacturing Pressures

Pressure Point	Global Indicator / Trend	Danish Indicator / Context	Analysis / Implication
Skilled Labor Shortage	Widespread shortages of digital and technical skills; aging workforce is a global trend in developed nations.[13]	Acute shortages, especially in critical green and digital sectors; high dependency on foreign talent to fill gaps.[12]	Denmark's challenge is more acute due to specific sector needs for its economic strategy. Attracting international talent is a key competitive imperative.
Energy Costs	High volatility globally, with significant price pressures, especially in Europe post-2022.[18]	As an EU member, Denmark is exposed to the high European energy price environment, impacting energy-intensive industries.[21]	High energy costs directly challenge the competitiveness of Danish production, reinforcing the need for aggressive investment in energy efficiency and renewables.
Raw Material Costs	Volatile commodity prices and supply chain disruptions are increasing input costs globally.[18]	As a nation with few natural resources, Denmark is highly exposed to global commodity price fluctuations and import costs.	The reliance on imported materials makes Danish firms particularly vulnerable to supply chain disruptions and tariffs, reinforcing the need for resilient sourcing strategies.
Regulatory Burden (ESG)	Growing ESG regulations globally (e.g., SEC, California), but the EU is at the forefront with CSRD and CBAM.[34]	Danish firms face one of the world's most ambitious and complex regulatory frameworks as part of the EU's Green Deal.[35]	While positioning Denmark as a green leader, the high regulatory burden creates significant compliance costs and administrative challenges that can hinder competitiveness against non-EU rivals.
Competitive Pressure	Dual pressure from both low-cost manufacturing regions and emerging high-tech competitors.	Danish firms face a particularly intense version of this due to their high domestic cost base, forcing a focus on high-value innovation.[31]	The Danish model is viable only through continuous innovation. The risk is being "squeezed in the middle" if they cannot out-innovate high-tech rivals or sufficiently differentiate from lower-cost ones.

Section 5: The Green Imperative: Sustainability, Regulation, and the Cost of Compliance

The transition to a sustainable, low-carbon economy is no longer a matter of corporate social responsibility or a niche marketing strategy. It has become a fundamental and non-negotiable business imperative for the manufacturing sector. Driven by a powerful combination of stringent government regulation, escalating investor demands, and shifting consumer expectations, the "green imperative" is reshaping production processes, supply chains, and business models. For manufacturers, navigating this transition involves significant costs and complexity, but it also presents a profound opportunity to drive innovation, enhance efficiency, and build a durable competitive advantage.

5.1 The Decarbonisation Mandate: Navigating the Transition to Sustainable Manufacturing

Sustainability has risen to the top of the strategic agenda for manufacturers worldwide. The core drivers are the urgent need to reduce environmental impact, optimize the use of scarce resources, and meet the rapidly growing expectations of regulators, customers, and investors.[33] This mandate requires a fundamental transformation of industrial operations.

The key pillars of this transition include:

- **Energy Transition:** Shifting from fossil fuels to renewable energy sources, such as on-site solar and wind, and aggressively pursuing energy efficiency gains throughout the production process.[37]

- **Circular Economy:** Moving away from a linear "take-make-dispose" model towards a circular one that emphasizes waste reduction, recycling, and the reuse of materials. This can significantly reduce both environmental footprint and material costs.[37]
- **Process Decarbonisation:** For energy-intensive industries, this involves developing and scaling up advanced technologies like carbon capture, utilization, and storage (CCUS) to achieve deep emission reductions in hard-to-abate sectors.

This "greenification" of the economy is also creating new and complex demands on the workforce. Manufacturers now need employees who understand green technologies, energy efficiency practices, and environmental compliance, adding a new "green skills" dimension to the existing human capital crisis.[37]

5.2 The Regulatory Tsunami: Analysing the Impact of CSRD, CBAM, and Global ESG Frameworks

Perhaps the most powerful driver of the green transition is a rapidly expanding and increasingly complex web of mandatory environmental, social, and governance (ESG) regulations. This "regulatory tsunami" is creating significant compliance challenges and costs for manufacturers, particularly those operating in or trading with the European Union.[34]

Two key pieces of EU legislation exemplify this trend:

- **Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD):** This directive mandates that large companies conduct extensive data collection and public reporting on a wide range of sustainability metrics.[34] Crucially, its impact extends far beyond the companies directly covered. To report on their full value chain (Scope 3) emissions and impacts, large firms must now demand detailed ESG data from their suppliers, many of whom are SMEs. This effectively cascades complex reporting requirements down through the entire supply chain.[38]
- **Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM):** This groundbreaking policy places a carbon price on certain carbon-intensive goods imported into the EU, such as steel, aluminum, and cement.[39] It requires importers to purchase certificates corresponding to the carbon emissions embedded in these products.[42] The goal is to prevent "carbon leakage" - where EU companies move production to regions with less stringent climate policies - and to level the playing field.[43] However, it creates a massive administrative burden, requiring an unprecedented level of emissions data tracking and verification from non-EU suppliers and fundamentally altering the cost structure of global supply chains.[41]

This regulatory intensification is a global phenomenon. Similar disclosure requirements are being implemented in other major economic regions, including California and by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), indicating a worldwide shift towards mandatory and standardized ESG reporting.[34] For manufacturers, this means that ESG compliance is no longer optional; it is a license to operate in key global markets.

5.3 The Economics of Green: The Costs of Compliance vs. the Cost of Inaction

The transition to sustainable manufacturing involves a complex economic calculus, forcing companies to weigh the significant costs of compliance against the potentially even greater costs of inaction.

The **Costs of Compliance** are substantial and multifaceted. They include:

- **Direct Costs:** Investments in new, greener technologies, energy-efficient machinery, renewable energy infrastructure, ESG reporting software, and fees for consultants and auditors.[44]

- **Indirect Costs:** The significant allocation of personnel time for data collection, reporting, and managing compliance processes, as well as extensive employee training.
- **Material Premiums:** Sourcing sustainable or recycled materials can often come at a premium compared to their conventional counterparts.

Globally, the total investment required to achieve a net-zero economy is estimated to be in the trillions of dollars, highlighting the immense scale of the capital needed for this transformation.[46]

However, **The Cost of Inaction** is increasingly framed as being far higher. Failing to adapt to the green imperative exposes companies to a range of severe financial and strategic risks:

- **Loss of Market Access:** Major corporate buyers are increasingly embedding stringent ESG criteria into their procurement processes, meaning suppliers who cannot meet these standards risk being excluded from key contracts.
- **Reduced Access to Capital:** The global investment landscape is shifting dramatically. ESG-focused institutional investments are projected to reach nearly $34 trillion by 2026.[47] Companies with poor ESG performance may find it harder and more expensive to secure financing, and risk divestment from major investors.
- **Reputational Damage:** Consumers and employees, particularly younger generations, are increasingly loyal to companies that demonstrate a strong commitment to social and environmental issues. A failure on this front can lead to significant brand damage and difficulty in attracting and retaining talent.

5.4 Denmark as a Green Leader: Capitalising on Strengths While Managing EU Regulatory Burdens

Denmark and its manufacturing industry are positioned as global leaders in the green transition. The country has set ambitious national climate goals, aiming for a 70% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions by 2030, and its industrial sector is playing a crucial role in achieving this target.[35] Many Danish companies have successfully transformed sustainability from a cost center into a core competitive advantage, leveraging their expertise in green technology and sustainable solutions to create innovative products and services that command a global market.[48]

However, this leadership position also comes with challenges. As a member of the European Union, Danish firms are at the forefront of implementing and navigating the bloc's ambitious and complex regulatory agenda, including the CSRD and CBAM. This creates a significant administrative and cost burden that must be carefully managed to maintain global competitiveness.[49] The challenge for Denmark is to continue to lead the green transition and capitalize on the associated economic opportunities, while simultaneously working at the EU level to ensure that the regulatory framework is designed in a way that enhances, rather than hinders, the ability of European companies to compete with rivals from regions with less stringent environmental rules.

Section 6: Strategic Pathways to Resilience and Competitiveness: Recommendations for 2025 and Beyond

The preceding analysis has detailed the multifaceted and interconnected challenges of the global manufacturing polycrisis. Identifying these problems is the first step; the more critical task is to formulate a coherent and actionable strategic response. This final section synthesizes the analysis into a set of integrated recommendations for Danish manufacturing leaders. The pathways outlined below are designed to move beyond a reactive, crisis-management posture towards a proactive strategy of building deep, systemic resilience and durable competitiveness for the turbulent era ahead.

6.1 Building a Future-Proof Workforce: An Integrated Talent Strategy

The human capital crisis is the most critical constraint on future growth and innovation. Addressing it requires moving beyond traditional recruitment tactics to a holistic and integrated talent management strategy.

- **Aggressive Upskilling and Reskilling:** The skills gap will not close on its own. Companies must make significant, sustained investments in tailored training programs to equip their existing workforce with the digital and green skills required for the future. This includes creating internal "academies," partnering with educational institutions, and leveraging technologies like AI-powered learning platforms to deliver personalized upskilling pathways.
- **Systematic Knowledge Capture:** To combat the devastating effects of the "knowledge drain" from retiring veterans, manufacturers must implement systematic knowledge capture and transfer programs. This can involve pairing experienced workers with younger employees in mentorship roles, using digital tools like augmented reality to create interactive training modules based on expert processes, and establishing formal systems for documenting critical, tacit operational knowledge before it is lost forever.[13]
- **Enhancing the Employee Value Proposition:** In a highly competitive labor market, attracting and retaining talent requires more than just a competitive salary. Companies must actively cultivate a superior employee experience. This means investing in a positive work culture, offering genuine flexibility where possible, providing clear and attractive career development paths, and fostering open communication channels to ensure employees feel heard and valued.[4] This shift from a transactional to a relational approach with employees is essential for reducing costly turnover.

6.2 De-Risking Operations: A Multi-Pronged Approach to Resilience

Resilience is not a single initiative but a multi-layered capability that must be woven into the fabric of the organization. This requires a coordinated approach to de-risking operations across key domains.

- **Supply Chain Resilience:** The strategic focus must shift from pure cost-optimization to a more sophisticated, multi-criteria approach that explicitly balances cost, risk, and sustainability.[56] This requires achieving end-to-end transparency across the supply chain, using digital tools to map dependencies down to Tier 2 and Tier 3 suppliers. It also involves building next-generation risk management capabilities that can continuously monitor and mitigate a wide range of evolving geopolitical, climate, and logistical threats.
- **Technological Resilience:** As factories become more connected, cybersecurity must be treated as a core operational function, on par with physical safety. This means investing in robust security for both IT and OT environments and breaking down the silos between the CISO and the COO. Furthermore, all major digital transformation projects must include a clear and comprehensive risk mitigation plan to prevent costly failures and business disruptions.
- **Financial Resilience:** The high level of uncertainty in the global economy demands more dynamic financial planning. Companies should develop and regularly update sophisticated scenario planning models to assess the potential impact of various shocks—such as sudden tariff implementations, energy price spikes, or major supply chain disruptions—on their financial performance. This capability allows for more agile and informed decision-making in a volatile environment.

6.3 Turning Sustainability into a Competitive Advantage: A Strategic ESG Framework

For Danish manufacturers, with their inherent strengths in green technology, the sustainability imperative should be viewed not as a burden but as a significant competitive opportunity. The goal should be to move beyond mere compliance to strategic leadership.

- **Integrate ESG into Core Strategy:** Sustainability cannot be a siloed function focused on producing an annual report. It must be deeply integrated into the core of the business - from product R&D and design, through procurement and manufacturing, to marketing and sales. Decisions at every level should be viewed through an ESG lens.
- **Leverage Green Innovation for Value Creation:** Danish firms should double down on their leadership in green technology. By developing innovative products and services that offer superior environmental performance, energy efficiency, or circularity, they can create highly differentiated offerings that can command a price premium and capture market share in a world that increasingly values sustainability.
- **Proactive Value Chain Collaboration:** Instead of simply demanding ESG data from suppliers, leading companies should proactively collaborate with their supply chain partners to help them improve their own sustainability performance. This can involve sharing best practices, co-investing in green technologies, and working together on circular economy initiatives. This approach not only de-risks the value chain and ensures compliance but can also unlock shared innovations and create a more resilient and sustainable ecosystem.

6.4 A Call to Action for Danish Industry: Policy Recommendations and Collaborative Initiatives

While individual company strategies are critical, many of the challenges of the polycrisis are systemic and require a collective response. Danish manufacturers, industry associations, and government must work in concert to create a business environment that fosters resilience and competitiveness.

- **For Government and EU Policymakers:** It is imperative to advocate for a "competitiveness check" on all new EU legislation. The goal should be to reduce administrative burdens, simplify complex regulations like CBAM reporting where possible, and ensure that the policy framework supports, rather than hinders, the ability of European companies to compete globally.[21] Efforts should also be made to simplify and streamline access to EU funding programs for innovation, digitalization, and green transition projects, particularly for SMEs.[59]
- **For Industry Associations (e.g., Dansk Industri):** Associations play a vital role in facilitating knowledge sharing and providing support, especially for SMEs that lack the internal resources to navigate these complex challenges alone. They should continue to foster collaborative platforms, promote best practices in digitalization and sustainability, and lead the charge in advocating for national policies that attract and retain the skilled international talent that is essential for the sector's future.
- **For Individual Companies:** Proactive engagement is key. Companies should not be passive recipients of policy but should actively engage with policymakers and industry groups to help shape a more favorable and competitive business environment. Strategically, the focus must remain on competing on value through targeted internationalization and sustained investment in R&D and innovation, which remains the most viable path to success for a high-cost country like Denmark.[60]

The following matrix provides a functional, action-oriented framework for leadership teams to begin translating these strategic recommendations into concrete initiatives across their organizations.

Table 3: Strategic Response Matrix for Danish Manufacturers

	Operations / Supply Chain	Human Resources	R&D / Innovation	Finance / Strategy
Geopolitical Volatility	- Implement end-to-end supply chain mapping to identify hidden risks. - Diversify supplier base across politically stable regions. - Develop and test contingency plans for critical logistics routes.	- Develop protocols for supporting employees in high-risk regions. - Assess geopolitical risk when planning international assignments.	- Monitor for technology export controls or restrictions from competing blocs. - Diversify R&D partnerships across different geographic regions.	- Conduct geopolitical scenario planning to model financial impacts. - Allocate capital for building buffer inventories of critical components. - Re-evaluate country risk ratings for all foreign direct investments.
Human Capital Crisis	- Implement digital work instruction and knowledge capture tools on the factory floor. - Create cross-functional teams pairing veteran and new employees on critical processes.	- Launch aggressive, targeted upskilling/reskilling programs for digital and green skills. - Redesign roles and career paths to be more attractive to younger generations. - Actively recruit international talent and support their integration.	- Establish innovation centers in tech hubs to attract top R&D talent. - Foster a culture of continuous learning and experimentation.	- Model the financial ROI of investments in training and retention programs. - Increase budget for competitive compensation and benefits packages. - Link executive compensation to talent development and retention metrics.
Technological Disruption	- Integrate OT cybersecurity protocols directly into production safety procedures. - Adopt a phased, scalable approach to smart factory implementation with clear ROI milestones. - Use digital twins to optimize processes and train staff in a virtual environment.	- Lead the cultural change required for human-machine collaboration. - Develop training programs for cybersecurity awareness for all plant floor employees.	- Invest in disruptive technologies (AI, additive manufacturing) to create new value. - Partner with tech startups and universities to accelerate innovation.	- Allocate dedicated capital for technology pilots and scaled investments. - Develop a business case framework that includes both productivity gains and resilience benefits from technology. - Ensure cybersecurity budget is treated as a strategic operational investment.
Economic Pressures	- Drive operational excellence and energy efficiency to mitigate input cost volatility. - Implement flexible production systems to handle demand fluctuations. - Use advanced analytics to optimize pricing and cost-to-serve models.	- Link wage increases to productivity gains and skill development. - Implement performance management systems that reward efficiency and innovation.	- Focus R&D on creating high-value, customized solutions that are less price-sensitive. - Design products for modularity and standardization to reduce complexity and cost.	- Implement rigorous cost control and cash flow management practices. - Use financial hedging instruments to manage commodity and currency risk. - Shift strategic focus from cost reduction to value creation and margin enhancement.
Sustainability Imperative	- Redesign processes to align with circular economy principles (waste reduction, material reuse). - Implement real-time energy and emissions monitoring systems. - Proactively engage suppliers to collect accurate ESG data for CSRD/CBAM.	- Integrate sustainability goals into employee performance reviews and incentive plans. - Develop training on ESG regulations and green skills for relevant staff. - Promote the company's sustainability mission to attract and retain talent.	- Prioritize R&D in green technologies and sustainable product design. - Develop products with lower environmental footprints to create a competitive advantage. - Collaborate with suppliers on joint green innovation projects.	- Secure green financing and sustainability-linked loans. - Integrate ESG risks and opportunities into all investment decisions. - Model the long-term financial cost of inaction versus the cost of green investments.

Cited Works

1. S&P Global. (n.d.). *Navigating Supply Chain Resilience*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.spglobal.com/en/research-insights/market-insights/geopolitical-risk/supply-chain-resilience
2. Benjamin Gordon. (n.d.). *The Impact of Geopolitical Events on Global Supply Chains*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://bengordonpalmbeach.com/the-impact-of-geopolitical-events-on-global-supply-chains/
3. Deloitte. (n.d.). *2025 Deloitte Manufacturing Trends: From a Workday Lens*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from(https://www.deloitte.com/dk/en/blogs/cxo-board/blog-tore-s-mart-manufacturing-2025-Technologys-rise-and-why-it-still-comes-down-to-people.html)
4. Deloitte. (n.d.). *2025 Manufacturing Industry Outlook*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.deloitte.com/global/en/alliances/workday/perspectives/deloitte-manufacturing-trends-from-a-workday-lens.html
5. Unicargo. (n.d.). *The Impact of Geopolitical Events on Global Supply Chains*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://unicargo.com/the-impact-of-geopolitical-events-on-global-supply-chains/
6. Maersk. (2025). *Navigating 2025's Geopolitical Supply Chain Landscape*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.maersk.com/insights/resilience/2025/02/28/geopolitical-supply-chain-landscape
7. European Central Bank. (n.d.). *Supply chain disruptions and the effects on the global economy*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.ecb.europa.eu/press/key/date/2023/html/ecb.sp230627_1~a4acd95294.en.html
8. Deloitte. (n.d.). *Supply chain resilience*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.deloitte.com/tr/tr/services/consulting/services/supply-chain-resilience-operate.html
9. LaceUp Solutions. (n.d.). *Political Instability and Its Impact on Global Supply Chains*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.laceupsolutions.com/political-instability-and-its-impact-on-global-supply-chains/
10. Dansk Industri. (2024). *Danske virksomheder i gang med "near- og friend-shoring"*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.danskindustri.dk/globalassets/politik-og-analyser/opa-analyser/2024/danske-virksomheder-i-gang-med-near--og-friend-shoring.pdf?v=240909
11. Aalborg University. (n.d.). *Manufacturing 2025 - Five future scenarios for Danish manufacturing companies*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from(https://www.researchgate.net/publication/313024364_Manufacturing_2025_-_Five_future_scenarios_for_Danish_manufacturing_companies)
12. Business Sweden. (2025). *Business Climate Survey for Swedish Companies in Denmark 2025*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.business-sweden.com/49cd1d/contentassets/6405f6cbc2d94c238f5c9fdcdf46b225/business-climate-survey-2025-denmark.pdf
13. CADDi. (n.d.). *Navigating the Manufacturing Labor Shortage: Challenges and Technology-Driven Solutions*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://us.caddi.com/resources/insights/manufacturing-labor-shortage
14. McKinsey & Company. (n.d.). *Investing in the manufacturing workforce to accelerate productivity*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://manufacturing.asia/manufacturing/in-focus/manufacturers-must-invest-in-workforce-boost-productivity-report
15. Dassault Systèmes. (n.d.). *The Impact of Skills Shortage on Global Manufacturing*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.3ds.com/insights/investing-manufacturing-workforce-accelerate-productivity
16. CogniTech. (n.d.). *Se, hvordan danske produktionsvirksomheder bruger data*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://cognitech.dk/saadan-bruger-danske-produktionsvirksomheder-data-i-dag/
17. Digitaliseringsstyrelsen. (n.d.). *Kontor for analyse og strategi*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://digst.dk/om-os/organisation/kontor-for-analyse-og-strategi/
18. Investopedia. (2025). *Economic Concerns Mount as Survey Shows Manufacturers Expect Price Pressures*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.investopedia.com/prices-up-wages-down-manufacturing-survey-shows-tariff-impacts-expected-11795294
19. The Manufacturing Institute. (n.d.). *The Aging of the Manufacturing Workforce*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://themanufacturinginstitute.org/research/the-aging-of-the-manufacturing-workforce/

20. Dansk Industri. (n.d.). *SETBACK INTO TURN COMEBACK*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from(https://www.danskindustri.dk/globalassets/politik-og-analyser/politiske-udspil/2025/eu-formandskab/eu_formandskab_brochure_engelsk_web.pdf?fbclid=IwY2xjawKdLF1leHRuA2FlbQIxMABicmlkETBzZnJGYW5PWmNXQlpMYXVwAR5bk8QQhVku7opUHKEQz9lZu8BpkdwOSAtNY_xzDYcqsAVO-Ttb5ubETYkrnw_aem_lfZ8M6zP00VYCefTkQ5hSQ)

21. Dansk Industri. (2025). *EU's erhvervsliv er under pres – det er tid til handling*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.danskindustri.dk/arkiv/analyser/2025/4/eus-erhvervsliv-er-under-pres--det-er-tid-til-handling/

22. Dansk Industri. (n.d.). *Viden og analyser - DI Produktion*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.danskindustri.dk/brancher/di-produktion/viden-og-analyser/

23. McKinsey & Company. (n.d.). *The data-driven enterprise of 2025*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/quantumblack/our-insights/the-data-driven-enterprise-of-2025

24. ZEISS. (2025). *US Manufacturing - Insights Report 2025*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.zeiss.com/metrology/en/news-and-events/us-manufacturing-insights-report-2025.html

25. Faethm by Pearson. (n.d.). *5 Trends Driving the Manufacturing Skills Gap & What to do About Them*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.faethm.ai/blog

26. WoodsCo. (n.d.). *Understanding the Talent Shortage in the U.S. Manufacturing Sector*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://woodsco.global/understanding-the-talent-shortage-in-the-u-s-manufacturing-sector/

27. S&P Global. (n.d.). *Worldwide industrial price pressures rise*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.spglobal.com/marketintelligence/en/mi/research-analysis/worldwide-industrial-price-pressures-rise-as-higher-energy-costs-and-strong-dollar-offset-impact-of-cooling-supply-chains-Jan25.html

28. PwC. (n.d.). *The way we work – in 2025 and beyond*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.weforum.org/stories/2025/01/future-of-jobs-report-2025-jobs-of-the-future-and-the-skills-you-need-to-get-them/

29. SECO. (2025). *Economic Report 2025 DENMARK*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from(https://www.seco.admin.ch/dam/seco/en/dokumente/Aussenwirtschaft/Wirtschaftsbeziehungen/L%C3%A4nderinformationen/Europa_Zentralasien/wirtschaftsbericht_daenemark.pdf.download.pdf/Economic%20Report_Denmark_2025.pdf)

30. Pure AU. (n.d.). *DANISH MANUFACTURING*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://pureau.com.au/pages/what-is-the-mineral-content

31. Dansk Industri. (n.d.). *Europas konkurrenceevne er presset. Produktionsvirksomhederne er en vigtig del af løsningen*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.danskindustri.dk/brancher/di-produktion/viden-og-analyser/analyser/2024/10/europas-konkurrenceevne-er-presset.-produktionsvirksomhederne-er-en-vigtig-del-af-losningen/

32. Dansk Industri. (n.d.). *Fortællinger fra Produktionsdanmark*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.danskindustri.dk/brancher/di-produktion/viden-og-analyser/analyser/2024/5/fortallinger-fra-produktionsdanmark/

33. Tracera. (2025). *ESG in manufacturing – How to keep up in 2025 and beyond*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://tracera.com/esg-in-manufacturing/

34. Manufacturers Alliance. (n.d.). *Manufacturers Contend with Increasing ESG Regulations*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.manufacturersalliance.org/research-insights/manufacturers-contend-increasing-esg-regulations

35. Dansk Industri. (2025). *NORDIC BUSINESS FEDERATIONS´ VISION*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.danskindustri.dk/globalassets/kampagnesites/gron-omstilling/rapporter/di_energi_a4_brochure_2025_web.pdf?v=250128

36. Elliott Davis. (n.d.). *ESG and sustainability in the manufacturing sector: What you need to know*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.elliottdavis.com/insights/top-esg-priorities-2025-company-focus-sustainability

37. Resource Employment Solutions. (n.d.). *The Future of Green Manufacturing: Opportunities and Challenges*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://resourceemployment.com/the-future-of-green-manufacturing-opportunities-and-challenges/

38. Dansk Erhverv. (2024). *Ny analyse: Bæredygtighed accelererer bredt i dansk erhvervsliv*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.danskerhverv.dk/presse-og-nyheder/nyheder/2024/januar/ny-analyse-baredygtighed-er-modnet-bredt-i-dansk-erhvervsliv/

39. Brookings Institution. (n.d.). *What is a Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism?*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.brookings.edu/articles/what-is-a-carbon-border-adjustment-mechanism/

40. Center for Climate and Energy Solutions (C2ES). (n.d.). *Carbon Border Adjustments*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.c2es.org/document/carbon-border-adjustment-provisions-in-the-118th-congress/

41. PwC. (n.d.). *The EU CBAM: Implications for supply chains*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.pwc.com/gx/en/services/tax/publications/the-eu-cbam-implications-for-supply-chains.html

42. OECD. (n.d.). *EU Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism: What is it, how does it work and what are the effects?*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.oecd.org/tax/tax-policy/eu-carbon-border-adjustment-mechanism-what-is-it-how-does-it-work-and-what-are-the-effects.htm

43. The Vienna Institute for International Economic Studies (wiiw). (n.d.). *Impacts of the EU carbon border adjustment mechanism*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.suerf.org/publications/suerf-policy-notes-and-briefs/impacts-of-the-eu-carbon-border-adjustment-mechanism/

44. Taxation and Customs Union - Europa.eu. (n.d.). *Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://taxation-customs.ec.europa.eu/carbon-border-adjustment-mechanism_en

45. Veridion. (n.d.). *The Cost of ESG In Procurement and How to Control It*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://veridion.com/blog/the-cost-of-esg-in-procurement-and-how-to-control-it

46. World Economic Forum. (n.d.). *These are 3 key hurdles to financing the climate transition*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2022/11/financing-climate-transition-cop27/

47. KEY ESG. (2025). *50 Sustainability Statistics You Need to Know for 2025*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://keyesg.com/sustainability-statistics/

48. Dansk Industri. (n.d.). *DEN DANSKE FREMSTILLINGSINDUSTRI*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.danskindustri.dk/brancher/di-produktion/viden-og-analyser/analyser/2024/5/den-danske-fremstillingsindustri/

49. Boston Consulting Group. (n.d.). *Cost and Resilience: The New Supply Chain Challenge*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.bcg.com/publications/2022/cost-and-resilience-the-new-supply-chain-challenge

50. Bain & Company. (n.d.). *Beyond Tariffs: The Supply Chain Reinvention Imperative*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.bain.com/insights/beyond-tariffs-the-supply-chain-reinvention-imperative/

51. Bain & Company. (n.d.). *Building Resilience in Your Business Strategy: Four Imperatives for Leaders*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.bain.com/insights/building-resilience-in-your-business-strategy-four-imperatives-for-leaders/

52. Dansk Industri. (n.d.). *DI Anbefalinger - Øget hjemtag af EU midler til danske virksomheder*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.danskindustri.dk/politik-og-analyser/di-mener/anbefalinger/2024/okt/di-anbefalinger---oget-hjemtag-af-eu-midler-til-danske-virksomheder/

53. Dansk Industri. (n.d.). *Nyt DI-udspil baner vejen for mere kapital til de danske vækstvirksomheder*. Retrieved October 10, 2025, from https://www.danskindustri.dk/brancher/di-digital/nyheder/2024/9/nyt-di-udspil-baner-vejen-for-mere-kapital-til-de-danske-vaekstvirksomheder/