2025

The Global Healthcare Inflection

Navigating a Polycrisis of Cost, Capacity, and Complexity

A Strategic Analysis by

KNOWUS

Executive Summary

The global healthcare sector is at a critical inflection point, confronting not a series of discrete issues but a deeply interconnected polycrisis that threatens its sustainability, accessibility, and effectiveness. This report provides a comprehensive analysis of the primary challenges confronting the industry, revealing a complex web of pressures that demand a fundamental re-evaluation of current models and strategies.

The analysis is structured around five core pillars of this crisis. First, the sector is buckling under the strain of an **unsustainable economic model**. For a third consecutive year, global medical costs are projected to see double-digit increases, consistently outpacing economic growth.[1] This relentless financial escalation, driven by high-cost innovations, inflation, and shifting utilization patterns, is overwhelming public health systems, shifting an untenable burden onto private payers and individuals, and creating a global affordability crisis that deepens health inequity.

Second, a severe **human capital deficit** is creating a master constraint on system capacity. A projected global shortfall of millions of healthcare workers by 2030, exacerbated by burnout and retirement, is undermining the quality and safety of care.[13] This crisis is intensified by a persistent "brain drain," where a mass migration of talent from low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) to high-income countries (HICs) systematically weakens the health systems of the world's most vulnerable nations while subsidizing those of the wealthiest.[43]

Third, a **complex epidemiological shift** is forcing health systems to fight a war on two fronts. The rising tide of non-communicable diseases (NCDs), fueled by aging populations and lifestyle factors, now coexists with the persistent threat of infectious diseases, including the resurgence of old adversaries and the silent pandemic of antimicrobial resistance (AMR).[10] [19] This dual burden strains resources and requires strategic and operational capabilities that few systems are designed to handle simultaneously.

Fourth, the COVID-19 pandemic exposed profound **systemic operational vulnerabilities**. Fragile, hyper-efficient global supply chains have proven brittle in the face of shocks, leading to critical shortages of essential medical products. Furthermore, deep-seated inequities in access and outcomes, both between and within countries, persist as a fundamental flaw, driving both poor health and long-term economic inefficiency.[27]

Finally, the essential **digital transformation** of healthcare presents a paradox of promise and peril. While technologies like virtual care, artificial intelligence (AI), and electronic health records (EHRs) are vital for future efficiency, their implementation is hampered by a lack of interoperability, significant cybersecurity risks, and the danger of exacerbating existing inequities if not deployed strategically.[61] [68]

Navigating this polycrisis requires more than incremental adjustments. It demands a paradigm shift towards integrated, resilient, and equitable health systems, underpinned by collaborative, system-wide reinvention. The findings of this report underscore the urgency for policymakers, industry leaders, and international bodies to act decisively to secure a sustainable and healthy future for all.

Michael Dalsgaard
Founder
KNOWUS

Section 1: The Global Health Economy Under Strain

The foundational challenge confronting the global healthcare sector is an economic model that has become structurally unsustainable. A relentless and accelerating rise in costs is placing unprecedented pressure on governments, employers, providers, and patients alike. This financial strain is not a cyclical phenomenon but a systemic crisis that threatens to undermine the core mission of healthcare: to provide accessible, high-quality care. The economic pressures are creating a cascade of consequences, from the degradation of public health systems to a growing affordability crisis for individuals, fundamentally altering the landscape of global health.

1.1 The Unrelenting Escalation of Medical Costs

The global healthcare industry is in the grip of a persistent and severe cost escalation. For the third consecutive year, global medical costs are projected to experience double-digit growth, with a global average rate of 10.4% anticipated for 2025, following peaks in 2023 and 2024.[1] This trend is not uniform across the globe; while growth is slightly slowing in Europe and Latin America, it is projected to accelerate in North America, Asia Pacific, and most significantly in the Middle East and Africa, where it is expected to jump from 10.4% in 2024 to 12.1% in 2025.[2]

This surge is fueled by a confluence of powerful drivers. The development and adoption of new medical technologies and high-cost pharmaceuticals, particularly specialty drugs like GLP-1 agonists, are major contributors to rising expenditures. Persistent inflation continues to affect the cost of medical supplies and labor, further pressuring provider margins. Concurrently, patterns of healthcare utilization are shifting, with increased demand for mental health services and the widespread adoption of telehealth adding new layers of cost to the system.[2]

The structural nature of this problem is starkly illustrated by a long-term trend observed in most developed countries: for at least four decades, healthcare spending has consistently outpaced Gross Domestic Product (GDP) growth. In 2022, healthcare spending accounted for an average of 9.2% of GDP across Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) countries, a figure that, while down from the pandemic-era peak, remains significantly above the pre-pandemic level of 8.8%.[8] In the United States, this translates to per-person spending of over $12,555.[11]

This consistent divergence between healthcare cost growth and overall economic growth points to a fundamental structural imbalance. It is not merely a temporary issue but a systemic flaw that forces a zero-sum competition for public and private funds. This dynamic "crowds out" necessary investments in other critical areas that are themselves determinants of long-term health and prosperity, such as education, infrastructure, and social services. A nation that spends a disproportionate share of its resources treating sickness has fewer resources to invest in the foundations of well-being, creating a long-term threat to its economic competitiveness and societal resilience.

Furthermore, the primary drivers of these costs - innovation in medical technology and pharmaceuticals - create a difficult "progress paradox." The very scientific and technological advancements that lead to improved health outcomes and quality of life are the same forces that are rendering the system financially unsustainable. This suggests that the current global models for pricing, reimbursing, and funding medical innovation are fundamentally broken and require a comprehensive rethinking to balance the goals of promoting progress and ensuring long-term affordability.

1.2 The Public-Private Pressure Dynamic and the Affordability Crisis

The relentless rise in costs is placing immense strain on public health systems around the world, which are increasingly described as "overwhelmed" by high demand and constrained resources. This degradation of public systems has become a primary driver of overall cost inflation in the private sector. The dynamic is particularly acute in Europe, where a striking 75% of private insurers identify the declining quality or funding of public healthcare as the main reason for rising medical plan costs.[4] As public systems falter, the burden of care increasingly shifts to private providers and insurers, who must then absorb the displaced demand.

This shift has a direct and severe impact on individuals, creating a global affordability crisis. A recent survey found that a staggering seven out of ten healthcare consumers report that they either cannot afford healthcare and medications now or would be unable to pay more if their costs were to increase. This is not an abstract concern; it is reflected in the high proportion of healthcare spending paid directly by individuals. Across OECD countries, household out-of-pocket payments account for nearly 20% of all health spending on average.[10] In some nations, such as Mexico, this figure exceeds 40%, placing a crippling burden on family finances.[10] The consequence of this financial pressure is that it systematically rations care based on income. The least affluent individuals are three times more likely than those in the highest income quintile to delay or forgo necessary medical care due to cost.[10]

The erosion of public systems and the subsequent shift to private care initiates a vicious feedback loop. As public systems become less reliable, those with the financial means to do so migrate to the private sector for their care. This exodus erodes the broad-based political and financial support for the public system, accelerating its decline and further cementing a two-tiered system where the quality and timeliness of care are determined by wealth rather than medical need. This process systematically dismantles the foundation of universal and equitable healthcare that many nations have strived to build, not through overt policy changes, but through the slow, corrosive effect of sustained economic pressure. This fragmentation also introduces profound inefficiencies. Private sector players and new market entrants often "cherry-pick" the most profitable services and the healthiest, lowest-acuity patient segments, leaving the public system to manage the most complex, chronic, and costly cases with an ever-shrinking resource base.

The affordability crisis is therefore not just a consequence of high costs; it is also a powerful driver of future costs. When individuals are forced to underuse preventative services - a behavior cited by 43% of insurers as a significant driver of medical costs - or delay necessary care, their health conditions inevitably worsen.[4] This leads to more severe complications and the need for more complex and expensive medical interventions down the line. The system, in effect, is forced into a pattern of saving money in the short term at the guaranteed cost of incurring massive, avoidable expenses in the future.

Table 1: Global Medical Cost Trends and Key Drivers (2023-2025)

Region	Projected Medical Trend 2024 (%)	Projected Medical Trend 2025 (%)	Top 3 Cited Cost Drivers
North America	8.1	8.7	New Medical Technology, Overuse of Care, Mental Health Utilization
Europe	9.3	8.9	Decline of Public System (75%), New Medical Technology, Overuse of Care
Asia Pacific	11.9	12.3	New Medical Technology, Overuse of Care, Poor Health Habits
Middle East & Africa	10.4	12.1	Overuse of Care, New Medical Technology, Decline of Public System
Latin America	11.0	10.8	Overuse of Care, New Medical Technology, Poor Health Habits
Global Average	**10.1**	**10.4**	**Overuse of Care, New Medical Technology, Decline of Public System**

**Source: Data compiled from WTW 2025 Global Medical Trends Survey.*[1, 2]*

Section 2: The Human Capital Deficit: A Deepening Workforce Crisis

Beyond the economic crisis, the global healthcare sector is confronting an equally severe human capital deficit. An escalating worldwide shortage of skilled health professionals, driven by a confluence of demographic shifts, systemic burnout, and global labor imbalances, has become a fundamental constraint on the industry. This is not merely a staffing inconvenience but a critical bottleneck that threatens the stability of health systems, compromises patient safety, and limits the potential of any proposed reforms or technological advancements. Without a sustainable and sufficient workforce, the core functions of healthcare cannot be reliably delivered.

2.1 Quantifying the Global Shortage and Its Consequences

The scale of the global healthcare workforce shortage is staggering and projected to worsen. The World Health Organization (WHO) projects a global shortfall of 11.1 million health workers by the year 2030, with the most acute deficits concentrated in the WHO African and Eastern Mediterranean regions.[13] Other models predict an even larger gap, with a potential worldwide shortage of 15 million health workers over the same period.[18] This crisis is not confined to developing regions. In the United States, for instance, the healthcare system is projected to face a shortage of between 200,000 and 450,000 nurses available for direct patient care by 2025, representing a gap of 10 to 20 percent.[42]

This deficit is being exacerbated by a crisis of retention. Healthcare professionals are leaving the field in growing numbers due to unsustainable levels of burnout, chronic stress, and an aging workforce nearing retirement. The consequences of these shortages are dire and multifaceted. Surveys show that a majority of nurses feel that unsafe working conditions, often stemming from understaffing, interfere with their ability to provide quality care.[52] These conditions create a heightened risk of medical errors, with one landmark study finding that as many as 98,000 Americans may die each year as a result.[58] Financially, the shortages force hospitals and health systems to rely on expensive contract and temporary labor to fill gaps, a practice that significantly inflates operating expenses and contributes to the overall cost crisis.

The workforce shortage functions as a "master constraint" on the entire healthcare ecosystem, rendering other potential solutions and investments ineffective. It is the ultimate bottleneck to improving both access to and quality of care. For example, a government or private entity could invest billions of dollars in a state-of-the-art digital health platform or a new hospital wing, but without a sufficient number of trained doctors, nurses, and technicians to utilize these resources, the return on that investment will be minimal. Similarly, policy initiatives

aimed at expanding health insurance coverage become hollow promises if there are not enough providers available for the newly insured patients to see. Addressing the human capital deficit is therefore a prerequisite for, or must be pursued in parallel with, any other major systemic reform.

This crisis has become dangerously self-perpetuating. Chronic staff shortages lead to increased workloads, longer hours, and intense pressure on the remaining workforce. This, in turn, fuels higher rates of burnout and moral injury, prompting more professionals to reduce their hours or leave the profession entirely. Their departure further exacerbates the initial shortages, creating a downward spiral that not only degrades the quality of current care delivery but also tarnishes the appeal of healthcare professions, discouraging new students from entering the field and thereby jeopardizing the future talent pipeline.

2.2 The "Brain Drain": A Global Imbalance of Talent

The global workforce crisis is profoundly shaped by a large-scale and imbalanced migration of health professionals from low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) to high-income countries (HICs). This phenomenon, often termed "brain drain," is driven by a powerful combination of "push" factors in the source countries and "pull" factors in the destination countries. The most significant push factors compelling health workers to leave their home countries are poor remuneration (cited in 83.2% of studies on the topic), a lack of security, and poor working environments.[43] Conversely, the primary pull factors attracting them to HICs are the prospect of better career advancement opportunities (cited in 81.3% of studies), higher salaries, and a better overall quality of life.[43]

The scale of this migration is immense. In some countries in Africa and Latin America, it is estimated that more than 50% of all native-born physicians have emigrated to work abroad. This represents a catastrophic loss of essential human capital for the source countries, undermining their long-term efforts to build resilient and effective health systems. For every health professional that leaves, the source country loses not only a skilled provider but also the substantial public investment made in their years of education and training.

This dynamic effectively creates a multi-billion-dollar subsidy flowing from the world's poorest nations to the wealthiest. HICs, which have largely failed to train and retain a sufficient domestic healthcare workforce to meet the demands of their aging populations, are systematically backfilling their deficits by importing talent that was educated at the expense of developing nations. The HIC gains a fully trained professional without bearing the full, long-term cost of their development, while the LMIC is left doubly disadvantaged - it loses both the critical worker and the financial investment made in them. This process is a powerful mechanism that actively perpetuates and deepens global health inequality.

This global labor market also creates a perverse paradox within some LMICs. The very prospect of high-paying jobs abroad can incentivize a greater number of individuals to enter medical professions as a pathway for economic mobility. This can, in some cases, lead to a domestic surplus of trained professionals who then find they must migrate to secure meaningful employment, as the local health system lacks the capacity or funding to absorb them. This results in the surreal phenomenon of unemployed or underemployed health workers coexisting with acute, needs-based shortages of care in the same country. It is a clear illustration of a profound and damaging misalignment between national educational output, domestic labor market capacity, and the powerful pull of global demand.

Table 2: Key Drivers of Healthcare Worker Migration from LMICs

Push Factors (Source Country)	Pull Factors (Destination Country)
• **Poor Remuneration (cited in 83.2% of studies)**	• Better Career Prospects & Advancement (81.3%)
• **Security Problems / Lack of Safety (58.9%)**	• Good Working Environment (63.6%)
• **Lack of Job Satisfaction (57.9%)**	• Higher Salaries & Better Quality of Life
• **Poor Sociopolitical Situations**	• Family Ties Abroad
• **Weak Health Systems & Poor Governance**	• Professional Development Opportunities

Source: Data compiled from a systematic review of 107 studies on healthcare worker migration.[43]

Section 3: The Evolving Epidemiological Landscape

The challenges facing global health systems are being compounded by a dramatic and complex evolution in the nature of disease itself. Health systems, many of which were designed and structured to combat acute, infectious diseases, are now struggling to cope with a profound epidemiological transition. They face a "dual burden" of a rising tide of chronic, non-communicable diseases (NCDs) and the persistent, ever-present threat of infectious outbreaks. This is occurring against the backdrop of a rapidly aging global population and the emergence of systemic threats like antimicrobial resistance (AMR), which undermine the very foundations of modern medicine.

3.1 The Dual Burden: NCDs and Persistent Infectious Threats

The world is in the midst of a significant epidemiological shift. Premature deaths resulting from NCDs - such as heart disease, stroke, diabetes, and cancer - are on the rise globally. This surge is driven by two powerful forces: the demographic reality of population growth and aging, and the prevalence of modifiable lifestyle risk factors. Across OECD countries, for example, 54% of adults are now classified as overweight or obese, and nearly one in five (19%) report engaging in heavy episodic drinking at least once a month.[10] The world is currently off track to meet the 2030 Sustainable Development Goal of reducing premature NCD mortality by one-third.

At the same time, the threat from infectious diseases has not receded. In fact, in some areas, it is intensifying. Malaria, once in decline, has been resurging since 2015. The world has recently recorded the highest number of dengue cases on record, increasing the risk of importation into new regions. Vaccine-preventable diseases like polio continue to pose a threat, particularly in conflict-affected zones. Progress on long-standing goals, such as reducing maternal and child mortality, has stalled, putting millions of lives at risk.

This reality has caught global health systems in a strategic trap, forcing them to fight a war on two distinct fronts with finite and often shrinking resources. The infrastructure, workforce skills, financing models, and public health strategies required to effectively manage chronic NCDs are fundamentally different from those needed to respond to acute infectious disease outbreaks. NCD management requires a focus on long-term, patient-centered care, prevention, lifestyle modification, and community-based primary care. In contrast, infectious disease control demands rapid response capabilities, robust surveillance systems, laboratory capacity, and the ability to surge critical care resources during an emergency.

This creates a constant and debilitating operational trade-off. A health system that optimizes its resources for efficient elective surgeries to treat the consequences of NCDs is not inherently prepared for a sudden influx of highly infectious patients requiring isolation wards and specialized intensive care. A primary care network focused on managing diabetes and hypertension may lack the public health surveillance capabilities needed to detect and contain a novel viral outbreak. Consequently, health systems are in a perpetual state of strategic conflict. Investing heavily in chronic care management may divert essential funds and attention away from pandemic preparedness. Conversely, as was witnessed during the COVID-19 pandemic, mobilizing the entire

system to respond to an outbreak can lead to the widespread neglect of routine and preventative care, resulting in falling childhood vaccination rates and worsening outcomes for patients with chronic conditions. Health systems are being asked to master two very different domains without a commensurate increase in resources or a fundamental redesign of their operating models.

3.2 The Silent Pandemic: Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR)

Lurking behind the more visible epidemiological challenges is one of the greatest and most insidious global health threats of the 21st century: antimicrobial resistance (AMR). AMR occurs when bacteria, viruses, fungi, and parasites evolve over time and no longer respond to medicines, making infections harder to treat and increasing the risk of disease spread, severe illness, and death.

New forecasts from the Global Research on Antimicrobial Resistance (GRAM) Project paint a dire picture of this "silent pandemic." Between 2025 and 2050, AMR is projected to be directly responsible for 39 million deaths worldwide.[19] The annual number of deaths directly attributable to bacterial AMR is forecast to increase by nearly 70% by 2050, rising from 1.14 million in 2021 to a projected 1.91 million.[21] The burden of AMR is not distributed equally. It falls disproportionately on LMICs, with sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia experiencing the highest rates of AMR-related deaths.[19] The economic consequences of inaction are also projected to be catastrophic, potentially adding an extra $1 trillion to annual global healthcare costs and causing $3.4 trillion in GDP losses by 2050.[19]

AMR should be understood not as just another disease, but as a "threat multiplier" that systematically undermines the foundations of modern medicine. The availability of effective antibiotics is a prerequisite for the safety of countless routine medical procedures, including surgery, organ transplants, and cancer chemotherapy. As resistance grows, the risk-benefit calculation for these interventions shifts dramatically. A standard hip replacement or caesarean section can become a life-threatening event if the risk of a post-operative infection cannot be controlled. AMR erodes the medical gains of the past century, increases the cost and duration of hospital stays, and threatens to return the world to a pre-antibiotic era where common injuries and infections were frequently fatal.

The global response to AMR is critically hampered by a profound market failure. There is a significant lack of financial incentive for pharmaceutical companies to invest in the research and development of new antibiotics. Compared to lucrative "blockbuster" drugs for chronic conditions, which patients may take for a lifetime, new antibiotics are typically used for short durations and are often intended to be held in reserve as drugs of last resort to preserve their effectiveness. This broken R&D pipeline means that humanity is facing a rapidly evolving and growing microbial threat with an arsenal of treatments that is shrinking in effectiveness.

3.3 The Demographic Imperative: Aging Populations

Underpinning the rise of NCDs and amplifying the demand for healthcare services is a powerful and irreversible demographic trend: the rapid aging of the global population. It is projected that by the year 2050, at least one-third of the world's population will be over the age of 60. This shift is already well underway in developed nations; in 2021, 18% of the population across OECD countries was aged 65 or over.[10]

This demographic imperative is a primary driver of nearly every challenge facing the healthcare sector. It is a key factor fueling the demand for healthcare and long-term care services, thereby exacerbating the workforce shortages detailed in Section 2. Older populations have a higher prevalence of chronic diseases and multi-morbidity, which directly fuels the surge in NCDs and their associated healthcare costs. Furthermore, the impact of AMR is projected to be most severe among the elderly, with the number of AMR-related deaths in individuals aged 70 and older expected to more than double by 2050.[21]

The aging of the population is fundamentally reshaping the type of healthcare that is demanded. It is causing a seismic shift in the center of gravity of health systems, moving away from a focus on episodic, curative care for acute conditions towards a need for continuous, long-term management of chronic diseases, palliative care, and social support. This requires a complete reorientation of health systems, their financing, and their workforce. The "hospital-centric" model that dominated healthcare in the 20th century, which is built around providing acute, interventional care within large institutions, is becoming increasingly ill-suited to this new reality. The future of healthcare for aging populations lies not in hospitals, but in integrated, community-based primary care, sophisticated home care models, remote patient monitoring, and digitally-enabled health services that can proactively manage health and well-being outside of institutional settings. However, many countries are structurally and financially ill-prepared to deliver these new models of care at the scale required, leaving them tethered to an obsolete and inefficient system.

Table 3: Projected Impact of Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR) by 2050

Metric	2021 (Baseline)	2050 (Projection)
Annual Deaths Directly Attributable to AMR	1.14 million	1.91 million
Annual Deaths Associated with AMR	4.71 million	8.22 million
Cumulative Deaths (2025-2050)	N/A	39 million (Directly Attributable)

**Note: The burden of AMR is projected to be disproportionately concentrated in South Asia and sub-Saharan Africa. Source: Data compiled from the Global Research on Antimicrobial Resistance (GRAM) Project.*[1 9 21]*

Section 4: Operational Fault Lines and Systemic Vulnerabilities

The crises of cost, capacity, and disease are exacerbated by deep-seated structural weaknesses within the operational fabric of global health systems. The intense pressures of the COVID-19 pandemic did not create these fault lines, but they did expose them with brutal clarity, revealing fragile supply chains, profound inequities, and compounding vulnerabilities that continue to undermine performance and resilience. These operational failures are not peripheral issues; they are core to the polycrisis, preventing efficient resource allocation, hindering access to care, and disproportionately harming the world's most vulnerable populations.

4.1 Fragile Foundations: Medical Supply Chain Resilience

The COVID-19 pandemic served as a global stress test for medical supply chains, a test which they largely failed. The crisis brought the long-standing but often-ignored vulnerability of these complex networks into sharp focus. The world witnessed widespread shortages of the most essential medical products, from personal protective equipment (PPE) and ventilators to common generic drugs. Such shortages are not mere logistical inconveniences; they directly endanger patients by causing critical delays in care, forcing clinicians to use substandard or inappropriate alternatives, and contributing to increased morbidity and mortality.

These vulnerabilities are not new. Long before the pandemic, medical product shortages were a chronic problem, frequently caused by manufacturing and quality issues (which account for 50-60% of all shortages), unexpected market exits by manufacturers of low-margin generic drugs, and the extreme geographic concentration of production for key active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) and medical components. The increasing globalization of pharmaceutical production, while offering cost advantages, has created a system that is highly susceptible to localized disruptions - such as lockdowns, export bans, natural disasters, or geopolitical events - that can rapidly cascade into a global crisis.

This fragility is a direct consequence of a decades-long pursuit of hyper-efficiency in supply chain management. The widespread adoption of strategies like just-in-time manufacturing, single-sourcing to maximize purchasing power, and minimizing inventory to reduce carrying costs has successfully driven down prices but at the hidden

cost of resilience. The entire system has been meticulously optimized for a stable, best-case-scenario world, leaving it brittle and incapable of absorbing shocks. The core strategic failure has been to treat efficiency and resilience as mutually exclusive rather than as dual objectives. A fundamental shift is now required, one that recognizes resilience - through measures like building redundancy, diversifying manufacturing locations, increasing end-to-end transparency, and strategically on-shoring or near-shoring the production of the most critical supplies - as an essential investment, even if it incurs a higher baseline cost.

4.2 The Great Divide: Inequity in Access and Outcomes

Deep and persistent inequities in health represent one of the most significant and damaging fault lines in the global system. According to the WHO and the World Bank, half of the world's population - approximately 4.5 billion people - is not covered by essential health services, and 2 billion people face severe, often catastrophic, financial hardship due to out-of-pocket healthcare costs.[27] These disparities manifest both between and within countries. The gap between the global north and south is vast: an estimated 75% of all surgical operations worldwide are performed in the wealthiest third of countries, while a mere 3.5% are performed in the poorest third, where the need is often greatest.

Yet, national averages can mask equally stark inequalities within a country's own borders. In low- and lower-middle-income countries, a mother and child from the wealthiest quintile of households are over four times more likely to receive a package of basic maternal and child health interventions than their counterparts in the poorest quintile.[27] This is not solely a problem of developing nations. Even within the affluent OECD countries, individuals in the lowest income bracket are three times more likely than the wealthiest to report unmet medical needs due to cost.[10]

These inequities consistently and disproportionately harm already underserved populations, including those in remote or rural areas, ethnic minorities, and migrants. Health inequity is not only a profound moral and social failure; it is also a major source of economic and systemic inefficiency. A health system that fails to provide equitable and timely access to primary and preventative care for its entire population will inevitably incur far higher costs in the long run. When preventable conditions like hypertension or type 2 diabetes go undiagnosed and unmanaged in marginalized communities due to barriers to access, they do not disappear. Instead, they escalate into far more severe and costly medical emergencies, such as heart attacks, strokes, kidney failure, and amputations. The cost of treating these crises in an emergency room and intensive care unit is exponentially higher than the cost of managing the underlying condition with low-cost generic medications and regular check-ups in a primary care setting. In this way, inequity is not a separate problem from the cost crisis detailed in Section 1; it is a direct and powerful driver of it.

4.3 Spotlight on LMICs: Compounding Crises

The systemic challenges confronting HICs are magnified to a critical, often existential, degree in low- and middle-income countries. These nations face a compounding of crises that creates a formidable barrier to progress. Health financing is grossly insufficient; governments in low-income countries spend, on average, less than 2% of their GDP on health, a fraction of what is needed to provide even the most basic services. They face a complex "double burden of malnutrition," simultaneously grappling with high rates of childhood stunting and undernutrition alongside a rising prevalence of obesity and its associated NCDs. LMICs are also on the front lines of climate change, which is projected to drive millions into extreme poverty through its direct health impacts, such as the spread of vector-borne diseases and heat-related illness.

Crucially, many LMICs lack the foundational infrastructure required for a modern healthcare system. Unreliable or non-existent electricity grids and poor internet connectivity are major barriers to the implementation of

essential digital health tools like Electronic Health Records (EHRs), which are critical for improving data management and care quality. The convergence of these challenges - insufficient funding, a complex disease burden, climate vulnerability, infrastructural deficits, and the "brain drain" of their skilled workforce - creates a vicious "development trap." In this cycle, poor health outcomes and weak health systems prevent sustainable economic progress, while the lack of economic progress prevents the necessary investment in health. This cycle is further destabilized by external geopolitical factors. Policies enacted in HICs can abruptly restrict funding for a wide range of essential health services in developing nations, demonstrating how decisions made thousands of miles away can have immediate life-and-death consequences for the world's most vulnerable populations.

Section 5: The Digital Transformation Imperative

Amid the daunting challenges of cost, capacity, and complexity, the digital transformation of healthcare is widely seen as an essential pathway toward a more efficient, effective, and patient-centered future. The potential of technology - from artificial intelligence and virtual care to electronic health records - to reshape care delivery is immense. However, this transformation is not a simple panacea. Its implementation is fraught with significant challenges, including high costs, systemic risks, and the potential to exacerbate existing problems if not managed with a clear and equitable strategy. The digital imperative thus presents a paradox of promise and peril that health systems worldwide must navigate carefully.

5.1 The Promise and Strategic Push for Digital Health

There is a powerful consensus among global health leaders that digital transformation is the single issue most likely to impact their organizations in the coming years. This has triggered a significant strategic push to invest in a wide range of health technologies. The primary goals of this push are to drive much-needed operational efficiencies, boost the productivity of a strained workforce, and fundamentally improve patient engagement and experience.

Investment is flowing into two main areas. First, there is a focus on building a solid digital foundation through the adoption of core technologies like Electronic Medical Records (EMRs) and Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) software. Many health systems are years behind other industries, still relying on antiquated tools like fax machines and manual, paper-based workflows, making this foundational investment a top priority. Second, organizations are increasingly exploring more advanced tools. Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning are seen as having the potential to streamline administrative tasks, reduce the burden on clinicians, enhance diagnostic accuracy, and enable predictive analytics to identify at-risk patients before their conditions become critical.

However, the current drive for digitalization often focuses on retrofitting new technology onto existing, and frequently inefficient, clinical and administrative workflows, rather than seizing the opportunity to fundamentally re-engineer the processes of care delivery. The result is often the simple digitization of flawed or outdated processes. This approach severely limits the potential return on investment and can create new layers of complexity and frustration for clinicians, who are asked to interact with poorly designed systems that do not align with their workflow. This can transform a promising technology into just another administrative burden, contributing to the very burnout it was intended to alleviate. The strategic focus must therefore shift from simply "installing technology" to enabling "technology-enabled system transformation," which requires a holistic redesign of care pathways, roles, and responsibilities.

5.2 Virtual Care: Balancing Access, Cost, and Quality

The adoption of telehealth and virtual care platforms surged during the COVID-19 pandemic, rapidly becoming a core component of medical portfolios globally. These tools have proven to be critical for solving long-standing

problems of access to care. Globally, nearly half (46%) of employees surveyed stated that without virtual care options, they would struggle to get the medical attention they need, highlighting its importance in overcoming geographical, mobility, and scheduling barriers.[1]

Despite its clear benefits for access and convenience, the rapid expansion of virtual care is also contributing to the overall increase in healthcare costs.[4] The future of telehealth remains uncertain, clouded by questions around long-term reimbursement models, its relatively low utilization for medical specialties outside of mental and behavioral health, and the potential for significant market disruption as the multi-year contracts signed with vendors at the height of the pandemic begin to expire.

The rise of telehealth has created a new paradox of "access-induced demand." By significantly lowering the barrier to consulting a physician - reducing time, travel, and effort - virtual care may be inadvertently increasing the overall utilization of healthcare services. This can lead to a net increase in the total number of consultations across the system, many for low-acuity issues that might previously have gone unaddressed. If each of these new interactions is reimbursed, it can drive up system-wide costs, even as it provides value to individual patients. The strategic challenge is to integrate virtual care in a way that it intelligently replaces less efficient or unnecessary in-person visits, rather than simply adding a new and costly layer of interaction on top of the existing system. To achieve this, health systems and payers must develop new care pathways and payment models that steer telehealth towards high-value use cases - such as remote management of chronic diseases, post-operative follow-ups, and serving remote and rural populations - rather than simply rewarding the volume of consultations, whether virtual or in-person.

5.3 Fortifying the Digital Frontier: Cybersecurity and Interoperability

The increasing digitization of healthcare creates enormous value, but it also creates significant new vulnerabilities. The healthcare industry, with its vast stores of sensitive personal and health information, has become a prime target for cybercriminals. Ransomware attacks on hospitals and clinics are on the rise, causing severe disruptions to patient care, forcing the diversion of ambulances, and in some cases, being linked to increased patient mortality. The financial cost is also immense, with the average cost to mitigate a single data breach in the healthcare sector reaching approximately $10 million in 2024.[61]

Compounding this security risk is a more fundamental and pervasive technical challenge: a profound lack of interoperability. The global healthcare IT landscape is a fragmented patchwork of disparate systems that cannot seamlessly exchange patient data. This is a critical failure with far-reaching consequences. A global survey of insurers found that a lack of integration between different facilities is a significant driver of increased medical costs, as it leads to the unnecessary duplication of tests and procedures when a patient's full medical history is not available at the point of care.[68] This is a worldwide problem; many countries still lack the basic clinical data standards and vendor certification processes for EHRs that are necessary to enable data to be shared securely and efficiently.

This lack of interoperability is arguably the single greatest barrier preventing the realization of the full promise of digital health. Without the ability to create comprehensive, longitudinal patient records, the potential of advanced tools like AI and predictive analytics is starved of the high-quality data they need to function effectively. Care coordination remains a largely manual, time-consuming, and error-prone process. This fragmented digital ecosystem, with its mix of modern platforms and insecure legacy systems, also creates a vast and porous attack surface for cybercriminals, making the entire system inherently more vulnerable. Solving the interoperability challenge is therefore not a mere technical nicety; it is an absolute prerequisite for building a healthcare system that is safe, efficient, intelligent, and resilient.

Section 6: Navigating the Future: Strategic Outlook and Recommendations

The preceding analysis demonstrates that the global healthcare sector is navigating a polycrisis where economic, human capital, epidemiological, and operational challenges are deeply intertwined and mutually reinforcing. Addressing these issues requires more than incremental fixes to isolated problems; it demands a holistic and fundamental paradigm shift in how health is valued, delivered, and financed. This concluding section synthesizes the report's findings to offer a forward-looking strategic outlook and a set of actionable recommendations for key stakeholders, aimed at building more resilient, sustainable, and equitable health systems for the future.

6.1 Pathways to Systemic Resilience: A Paradigm Shift

The interconnected crises detailed in this report - unsustainable economics, a collapsing workforce, a complex disease burden, and systemic fragility - cannot be solved in silos. Progress on any single front is contingent on progress on the others. The evidence points toward the need for a fundamental paradigm shift away from the current reactive, fragmented, and volume-driven model of care.

A primary pathway for this transformation is the accelerated adoption of value-based care (VBC) models. Unlike traditional fee-for-service systems that reward the quantity of procedures performed, VBC links provider payments to the quality of patient outcomes and the overall cost of care. This fundamentally realigns financial incentives, encouraging providers to focus on preventative measures, effective chronic disease management, and care coordination to keep populations healthy and reduce the need for expensive, high-acuity interventions.

This shift must be accompanied by a renewed and substantial investment in **primary and preventative care**. Strong primary care is the most effective and efficient foundation for managing the rising burden of NCDs, promoting healthy lifestyles, and serving as the first line of defense against public health threats.[7] By focusing resources "upstream," health systems can mitigate the enormous "downstream" costs associated with treating advanced diseases and complications.

Finally, fostering systemic resilience will require new forms of collaboration, including innovative public-private partnerships that leverage the strengths of each sector to drive efficiency, expand access, and accelerate innovation. The overarching strategic imperative is clear: the global healthcare system must transition from a model that primarily profits from sickness to one that systematically invests in health; from a focus on acute treatment within hospital walls to continuous, proactive health management within the community; and from a collection of siloed entities to fully integrated health ecosystems designed to serve the holistic needs of individuals and populations.

6.2 Policy Imperatives for a Sustainable Future

Translating this strategic vision into reality requires concerted and coordinated action from all major stakeholders. The following policy imperatives are critical for navigating the path forward:

For National Governments:

- **Prioritize Foundational Investments:** Governments must urgently address the human capital crisis by increasing investment in the training, recruitment, and retention of the health workforce. This includes implementing policies that ensure competitive compensation, safe working conditions, and support for mental well-being. Furthermore, they must engage in ethical international recruitment practices that do not exacerbate the "brain drain" from LMICs.

- **Reorient Health Systems:** Policy and funding must be decisively shifted to strengthen primary care infrastructure and preventative health services. This should be the central pillar of national health strategy.
- **Build a Resilient Digital Ecosystem:** Governments have a critical role to play in establishing and enforcing coherent national standards for health data governance, interoperability, and cybersecurity. This is essential to create a secure and integrated digital environment that can support modern, data-driven healthcare.

For International Bodies (e.g., WHO, World Bank):

- **Coordinate Global Action:** International organizations must take the lead in facilitating global collaboration on transnational threats that no single nation can solve alone, particularly AMR and pandemic preparedness and response.
- **Promote Health Equity:** These bodies should develop and advocate for international frameworks and mechanisms to mitigate the negative impacts of health worker migration on source countries. They must also work to ensure sustainable and predictable financing for strengthening health systems in LMICs, which is essential for global health security.

For Healthcare Industry Leaders (Providers, Payers, and Life Sciences):

- **Accelerate the Value Transition:** Industry leaders must proactively move away from fee-for-service arrangements and champion the design and implementation of effective VBC models.
- **Invest in Meaningful Technology:** Investment in digital health should prioritize technologies that genuinely improve clinical workflows, reduce administrative burden, and enhance patient outcomes, with a relentless focus on achieving true interoperability.
- **Collaborate on Supply Chain Resilience:** The private sector must work collaboratively, and in partnership with governments, to build more resilient medical supply chains. This includes initiatives to increase manufacturing transparency, diversify sourcing of critical components, and build strategic reserves.

6.3 Conclusion: The Imperative for Collaborative, System-Wide Reinvention

The global healthcare sector stands at a crossroads. The challenges outlined in this report are not cyclical downturns or temporary pressures; they are the symptoms of a global system straining against the limits of its 20th-century design in a 21st-century world. The path of incremental change and siloed problem-solving is no longer viable and leads toward a future of escalating costs, contracting access, and declining quality.

The alternative path - one of systemic reinvention - is demanding but necessary. It requires unprecedented levels of collaboration between the public and private sectors, a long-term vision that transcends short-term political and financial cycles, and a shared global commitment to the foundational principle of health as a human right and a prerequisite for societal well-being and prosperity. The time for bold, decisive, and collective action is now.

Cited Works

1. WTW. (2024). *2025 Global Medical Trends Survey*. https://www.wtwco.com/en-ke/insights/2024/10/2025-global-medical-trends-survey
2. WTW. (2024). *2025 Global Medical Trends Survey — Executive Summary*. https://www.wtwco.com/-/media/wtw/insights/2024/10/2025-global-medical-trends-survey-executive-summary.pdf
3. The Consulting Report. (2024). *WTW Survey Projects Rising Global Medical Costs for 2025*. https://www.theconsultingreport.com/wtw-survey-projects-rising-global-medical-costs-for-2025/
4. reba. (n.d.). *5 reasons medical costs are rising and what employers can do about it*. https://reba.global/resource/5-reasons-medical-costs-are-rising-and-what-employers-can-do-about-it.html
5. NJBIA. (2024). *Global Healthcare Benefit Costs Projected to Rise 10.4% in 2025*. https://njbia.org/global-healthcare-benefit-costs-projected-to-rise-10-4-in-2025/
6. Aon. (n.d.). *The Global Medical Trend Rates Report*. https://www.aon.com/en/insights/reports/the-global-medical-trend-rates-report
7. OECD. (2023). *Health at a Glance 2023: Full Report*. https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/health-at-a-glance-2023_7a7afb35-en/full-report.html
8. UEHP. (2023). *OECD's Health at a Glance 2023*. https://www.uehp.eu/corners/oecds-health-at-a-glance-2023/
9. OECD. (2023). *Health at a Glance 2023: Digital Health*. https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/health-at-a-glance-2023_7a7afb35-en/full-report/digital-health_d79d912b.html
10. OECD. (2023). *Health at a Glance 2023*. https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/health-at-a-glance-2023_7a7afb35-en.html
11. Going International. (2023). *Health at a Glance 2023*. https://www.goinginternational.eu/wp/de/health-at-a-glance-2023/
12. Google Books. (2023). *Health at a Glance 2023 OECD Indicators*.(https://books.google.com/books/about/Health_at_a_Glance_2023_OECD_Indicators.html?id=w3vmEAAAQBAJ)
13. World Health Organization. (n.d.). *Health workforce*. https://www.who.int/health-topics/health-workforce
14. McKinsey & Company. (2025). *Heartbeat of health: Reimagining the healthcare workforce of the future*.(https://www.mckinsey.com/mhi/our-insights/heartbeat-of-health-reimagining-the-healthcare-workforce-of-the-future#:~:text=That%20is%20because%20a%20global,Definition%20of%20healthcare%20workers%E2%80%9D))
15. McKinsey & Company. (2025). *Heartbeat of health: Reimagining the healthcare workforce of the future*. https://www.mckinsey.com/mhi/our-insights/heartbeat-of-health-reimagining-the-healthcare-workforce-of-the-future
16. McKinsey & Company. (n.d.). *Health worker capacity*. https://www.mckinsey.com/mhi/focus-areas/health-worker-capacity

17. Project HOPE. (n.d.). *The Global Health Care Worker Shortage: 10 Numbers to Note*. https://www.projecthope.org/news-stories/story/the-global-health-care-worker-shortage-10-numbers-to-note/

18. BMJ Global Health. (2022). *The global health workforce stock and distribution in 2020 and 2030*. https://gh.bmj.com/content/7/6/e009316

19. Wellcome Trust. (2024). *New forecasts reveal that 39 million deaths will be directly attributable to bacterial antimicrobial resistance (AMR) between 2025-2050*. https://wellcome.org/news/new-forecasts-reveal-39-million-deaths-will-be-directly-attributable-bacterial-antimicrobial

20. CIDRAP. (2024). *Study forecasts more than 39 million deaths from antimicrobial resistance by 2050*. https://www.cidrap.umn.edu/antimicrobial-stewardship/study-forecasts-more-39-million-deaths-antimicrobial-resistance-2050

21. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME). (2024). *More than 39 million deaths from antibiotic-resistant infections forecast between 2025 and 2050*. https://www.healthdata.org/news-events/newsroom/news-releases/lancet-more-39-million-deaths-antibiotic-resistant-infections

22. PLATINEA. (2024). *A new article in The Lancet gives a forecast for the future regarding antimicrobial resistance on a global level*. https://www.platinea.se/w/pl/en/news/archive/2024-09-20-a-new-article-in-the-lancet-gives-a-forecast-for-the-future-regarding-antimicrobial-resistance-on-a-global-level

23. National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). (2023). *Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) – a chronic public health problem*. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10336207/

24. ISGlobal. (2024). *The Threat of Antimicrobial Resistance: Towards a Global Health Crisis in 2050?*. https://www.isglobal.org/en/healthisglobal/-/custom-blog-portlet/la-amenaza-de-la-resistencia-antimicrobiana-hacia-una-crisis-de-salud-global-en-2050

25. P4H. (2023). *Universal Health Coverage Global Monitoring Report 2023*. https://p4h.world/en/documents/universal-health-coverage-global-monitoring-report-2023/

26. World Health Organization. (2023). *Tracking universal health coverage*. https://www.who.int/news-room/questions-and-answers/item/tracking-universal-health-coverage

27. World Health Organization. (n.d.). *Universal health coverage (UHC)*. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/universal-health-coverage-(uhc)

28. The World Bank. (2023). *Universal Health Coverage Global Monitoring Report 2023*. https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/entities/publication/1ced1b12-896e-49f1-ab6f-f1a95325f39b

29. Harvard GHELI. (2023). *Tracking Universal Health Care Coverage: 2023 Global Monitoring Report*. https://repository.gheli.harvard.edu/repository/13095/

30. The World Bank. (n.d.). *Universal Health Coverage Data*. https://datatopics.worldbank.org/universal-health-coverage/

31. IBM. (2024). *Healthcare industry attack trends in 2024*. https://www.ibm.com/think/insights/healthcare-industry-attack-trends-2024

32. Elliott Davis. (n.d.). *The rising cost of a healthcare data breach*. https://www.elliottdavis.com/insights/the-rising-cost-of-a-healthcare-data-breach

33. Becker's Hospital Review. (2024). *Average cost of healthcare data breach, by year.* https://www.beckershospitalreview.com/healthcare-information-technology/cybersecurity/average-cost-of-healthcare-data-breach-by-year/

34. SecurityScorecard. (n.d.). *How Much Do Healthcare Data Breaches Really Cost?.* https://securityscorecard.com/blog/how-much-do-healthcare-data-breaches-really-cost/

35. HIPAA Journal. (n.d.). *Healthcare Data Breach Statistics.* https://www.hipaajournal.com/healthcare-data-breach-statistics/

36. HIPAA Journal. (2025). *Average Cost of a Healthcare Data Breach Falls to $7.42 Million.* https://www.hipaajournal.com/average-cost-of-a-healthcare-data-breach-2025/

37. AONL. (n.d.). *McKinsey estimates need for up to 450,000 nurses by 2025.* https://www.aonl.org/news/McKinsey-estimates-need-for-up-to-450000-nurses-by-2025

38. Research.com. (n.d.). *U.S. Nursing Shortage: Statistics, Causes, and Solutions.* https://research.com/careers/us-nursing-shortage

39. Davis & Elkins College. (n.d.). *Impact of Nursing Shortage on Careers: 2025 Challenges and Solutions.* https://www.dewv.edu/impact-of-nursing-shortage-on-careers-2025-challenges-and-solutions/

40. Patient Advocates. (n.d.). *United States Is Projected To Have A Shortage Of 200,000 To 450,000 Registered Nurses By 2025.* https://patientadvocates.com/united-states-is-projected-to-have-a-shortage-of-200000-to-450000-registered-nurses-by-2025/

41. Nurseslabs. (n.d.). *Nursing Shortage 2025: Safe Staffing Impact in the U.S.*. https://nurseslabs.com/nursing-shortage/

42. McKinsey & Company. (n.d.). *Assessing the lingering impact of COVID-19 on the nursing workforce.* https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/healthcare/our-insights/assessing-the-lingering-impact-of-covid-19-on-the-nursing-workforce

43. BMJ Global Health. (2023). *Drivers of healthcare workers' migration from low/middle-income countries.* https://gh.bmj.com/content/8/5/e012338

44. PubMed. (2023). *Drivers of health workers' migration, intention to migrate and non-migration from low/middle-income countries, 1970-2022: a systematic review.* https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37156560/

45. National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). (2023). *Drivers of health workers' migration, intention to migrate and non-migration from low/middle-income countries, 1970-2022: a systematic review.* https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10174016/

46. ResearchGate. (2023). *Drivers of health workers' migration, intention to migrate and non-migration from low/middle-income countries, 1970-2022: a systematic review.*(https://www.researchgate.net/publication/370618613_Drivers_of_health_workers'_migration_intention_to_migrate_and_non-migration_from_lowmiddle-income_countries_1970-2022_a_systematic_review)

47. Migration Policy Institute. (2023). *Global Shortages and High Demand Sustain Health-Care Worker Migration.* https://www.migrationpolicy.org/article/health-care-worker-migration-trends

48. International Labour Organization. (n.d.). *The impact of health professional migration on the employment and wages of native-born health professionals in destination countries*. https://www.ilo.org/media/447331/download

49. American Nurses Association. (n.d.). *Workplace Violence*. https://www.nursingworld.org/practice-policy/work-environment/wpv/

50. American Nurses Association. (n.d.). *Workplace Violence*. https://www.nursingworld.org/practice-policy/work-environment/wpv/workplace-violence/

51. American Nurses Foundation. (2022). *COVID-19 Survey Series: Workplace Survey*. https://www.nursingworld.org/practice-policy/work-environment/health-safety/disaster-preparedness/coronavirus/what-you-need-to-know/covid-19-survey-series-anf-2022-workplace-survey/

52. American Nurses Foundation. (2023). *Action is Still Needed to Address Serious Nursing Workforce Challenges*. https://www.nursingworld.org/news/news-releases/2023/the-american-nurses-foundation-says-action-is-still-needed-to-address-serious-nursing-workforce-challenges/

53. National Nurses United. (n.d.). *National nurse survey reveals significant increases in unsafe staffing, workplace violence, and moral distress*. https://www.nationalnursesunited.org/press/survey-reveals-increases-in-unsafe-staffing-workplace-violence-moral-distress

54. American Nurses Foundation. (2022). *Workforce Survey Written Report*. https://www.nursingworld.org/globalassets/covid19/anf-2022-workforce-written-report-final.pdf

55. AHRQ PSNet. (n.d.). *Measuring and Responding to Deaths from Medical Errors*. https://psnet.ahrq.gov/perspective/measuring-and-responding-deaths-medical-errors

56. National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). (2000). *To Err Is Human: Building a Safer Health System*. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25077248/

57. AAMI. (n.d.). *To Err Is Human: An Imperfect System*. https://array.aami.org/doi/full/10.2345/0899-8205-43.6.476

58. American College of Surgeons. (2020). *Revisiting To Err Is Human 20 years later*. https://www.facs.org/for-medical-professionals/news-publications/news-and-articles/bulletin/2020/02/revisiting-to-err-is-human-20-years-later/

59. National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). (2000). *To Err Is Human: Building a Safer Health System*.(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK2673/)

60. AAMC. (n.d.). *20 years after To Err Is Human*. https://www.aamc.org/news/20-years-patient-safety

61. IBM. (2024). *Healthcare industry attack trends in 2024*. https://www.ibm.com/think/insights/healthcare-industry-attack-trends-2024

62. Barracuda. (2024). *2024 IBM breach report: More breaches, higher costs*.(https://blog.barracuda.com/2024/08/20/2024-IBM-breach-report-more-breaches-higher-costs)

63. Healthcare Dive. (2024). *Average cost of healthcare data breach nearly $10M in 2024: report*. https://www.healthcaredive.com/news/healthcare-data-breach-costs-2024-ibm-ponemon-institute/722958/

64. CyberPilot. (n.d.). *New IBM Report: The Real Cost of a Data Breach*. https://www.cyberpilot.io/cyberpilot-blog/new-ibm-report-the-real-cost-of-a-data-breach

65. IBM. (2024). *Cost of a data breach 2024: Financial industry*. https://www.ibm.com/think/insights/cost-of-a-data-breach-2024-financial-industry

66. Enzoic. (n.d.). *Insights from IBM's 2024 Cost of a Data Breach Report*. https://www.enzoic.com/blog/ibms-2024-cost-of-a-data-breach/

67. American Hospital Association. (2023). *New Surveys Find Majority of Patients, Doctors, Nurses Say Health Insurer Policies Reduce Access to Care*. https://www.aha.org/press-releases/2023-07-11-new-surveys-find-majority-patients-doctors-nurses-say-health-insurer-policies-reduce-access-care

68. Infuse. (n.d.). *The Hidden Impact of Healthcare's Lack of Interoperability*. https://infuse.com/insight/hidden-impact-healthcare-lack-interoperability/

69. National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). (n.d.). *Interoperability in healthcare: a literature review*. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10007006/